# GSS REPORTING: OPENSOLIDATING OPENSO

The aligned green, social, and sustainability along with sustainability-linked bonds (GSS+) bond market is on track to break the record USD1tn annual issuance level set in 2021, if achieved aligned cumulative issuance would be comfortably above USD5.5tn by year-end. The evolution of the market both in size and reach increases the importance of ensuring a robust, credible and durable market environment. Climate Bonds Initiative has recently conducted an analysis of post-issuance reporting across the GSS bond market landscape and will soon publish a report. Overall, the results are promising reporting is widespread and the quality, while variable, is generally good, more issuers are using reporting frameworks, listing reports, providing project-level disclosure, explaining methodologies and referencing data sources used to assess impacts. Ahead of the launch of the full report, here are some key findings.

# 1. Widespread reporting

Reporting, both in terms of allocations and impacts, has improved and is widespread. An impressive 88% of issuers surveyed reported on their allocations and 83% reported on both their allocations and impacts. Among the sample, development banks displayed the highest share of reporting by issuer type (94%). Reporting is now most definitely the norm for all issuer types.



# 2. Quality has improved

The quality of reporting has improved notably since Climate Bonds last post-issuance research report in 2021. Of the issuers assessed, 76% provide the core components of allocation and impact data along with some information on entity-level linkages. The three key aspects of high-quality reporting have all advanced: clarity, granularity, and accessibility of disclosure.



### 3. Increased presence of impact reporting

Impact reporting is clearer and increasingly standardised, however there is still a long way to go until methodologies are fully disclosed and the data can be reliably compared across issuers. Issuers in the sample demonstrated a varied approach to incorporating KPIs, citing between 1-34 KPIs to communicate the impact of the projects financed, the average was five. Key to moving this forward is simplification, streamlining and the avoidance of unnecessary costs.



### 4. Enhanced disclosure and referencing

Project disclosure post-issuance tends to be more granular than that provided pre-issuance, 61% of the sample identified all individual projects. Referencing, and reporting in-line with, a well-recognised framework lends credibility and has become more commonplace. Occasionally issuers miss an opportunity by expressing an intention to do so pre-issuance, and failing to deliver. ICMA's Harmonised Frameworks were by far the most frequently cited.



## 5. Small steps and game changers

Considerable progress has been made and should be recognised and the implementation of relatively small changes could deliver substantial enhancements e.g., clear signalling of where to locate relevant reports. Beyond that, the standardisation of frameworks and guidance and a widely accessible official reporting platform would dramatically raise the game.



1. Post-issuance reporting in the green bond market 2021. May 2021. Climate Bonds Initiative. cbi post issuance 2021\_02g.pdf (climatebonds.net)









Climate Bonds Initiative ©2024

Disclaimer: The information contained in this communication does not constitute investment advice in any form and the Climate Bonds Initiative is not an investment adviser. Any reference to a financial organisation or debt instrument or investment product is for information purposes only. Links to external websites are for information purposes only. The Climate Bonds Initiative accepts no responsibility for content on external websites. The Climate Bonds Initiative is not endorsing, recommending or advising on the financial merits or otherwise of any debt instrument product and no information within this communication should be taken as such, nor should any information in this communication be relied upon in making any investment decision. Certification under the Climate Bond Standard only reflects the climate attributes of the use of proceeds of a designated debt instrument. It does not reflect the credit worthiness of the designated debt instrument, nor its compliance with national or international laws. A decision to invest in anything is solely yours. The Climate Bonds Initiative accepts no liability of any kind, for any investment an individual or organisation makes, nor for any investment made by third parties on behalf of an individual or organisation, based in whole or in part on any information contained within this, or any other Climate Bonds Initiative public communication.