24th Aug. 2021

ICBC Carbon Neutrality Green Bond Pre-Issuance Verification Statement

Limited Assurance Procedure based on Climate Bonds Standard version 3.0

Beijing Zhongcai Green Financing Consultant Ltd.



Scope

Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Ltd. ("ICBC" or "the Issuer") is considering the issuance of a bond (the Bond) and has submitted the Bond for verification and certification against the Climate Bonds Standard (CBS).

Beijing Zhongcai Green Financing Consultant Ltd. (Zhongcai) has been commissioned by ICBC to provide the pre-issuance verification of the Bond as an independent third-party verifier approved by the Climate Bonds Standard Board. The verification is performed in accordance with the CBS Version 3.0 and the assurance approach is in line with the *International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000 (ISAE 3000): Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information.*

Work Undertaken

The work undertaken by Zhongcai to form this verification report included:

- Planning and management of the verification.
- Desk review of the Bond and associated documentation provided by the Issuer.
- Assessment of evidences provided by the ICBC against the CBI standard version 3.0.
- Internal quality control on the assurance report and conclusions.
- Provision of Zhongcai's Limited Assurance Report.

According to the list of Nominated Projects & Assets, the Issuer intends to finance nominated projects and assets falling under the following Sector Criteria of the Climate Bonds Standard:

- Solar (Version 2.1)
- Wind (Version 1.2)
- Low Carbon Transport (Version 2.0)
- Marine Renewables (Version 1.2)

Responsibilities of the Issuer and Zhongcai

The verification statement is based on information provided by the Issuer and the Issuer is responsible for the integrity, accuracy and timeliness of the information. The verification opinions shall not be interpreted as any indication or guarantee for relevant bond investment decisions. In no case may the opinions hereof operate as the interpretation or guarantee for economic performance of bonds, credit rating and actual utilization of the raised fund.



Verification Opinion

Beijing Zhongcai Green Financing Consultant Ltd. (Zhongcai) has performed the Pre-issuance verification of the Bond in accordance with the Climate Bonds Standard Version 3.0 and the *International Standard on Assurance Engagements* 3000 (ISAE 3000): Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information.

The assurance procedures include documents review, interviews with the management team of the Issuer and communications with relevant people. Based on the limited assurance procedures conducted, nothing has come to our attention that the Bond is not in line with the requirements of the Climate Bonds Standard and the relevant Sector Criteria (Solar Version 2.1, Wind 1.2, Low Carbon Transport Version 2.0 and Marine Renewables Version 1.2).

Ying Cu.

Nan Lin

Hwixin Lin.

Ying CUI

Nan LIU

Huixin LIU

The verification team of Beijing Zhongcai Green Financing Consultant Ltd. Beijing, China



Verification Criteria & Findings

Zhongcai has assessed the Bond of ICBC and the nominated projects and assets against criteria and requirements detailed within the Climate Bonds Standard version 3.0:

- Part A: Pre-Issuance Requirements

- 1. Use of Proceeds
- 2. Process for Evaluation and Selection of Projects & Assets
- 3. Management of Proceeds
- 4. Reporting Prior to Issuance

- Part C: Eligibility of Projects & Assets

- 1. Climate Bonds Initiative Solar Criteria Version 2.1
- 2. Climate Bonds Initiative Wind Criteria Version 1.2
- 3. Low Carbon Transport Criteria Version 2.0
- 4. Marine Renewable Energy Sector Criteria Version 1.2

Part A: Pre-Issuance Requirements

Table 1: Checklist of Pre-Issuance Climate Bonds Standard Requirements

Climate Bonds Standard Requirement	Findings	Requirement Met
1. Use of Proceeds		
1.1 The Issuer shall document the Nominated Projects & Assets which are proposed to be associated with the Bond and which have been assessed as likely to be Eligible Projects & Assets. The Issuer shall establish a list of Nominated Projects & Assets which can be kept UpToDate during the term of the Bond.	The Issuer has provided a list of nominated projects to be financed, which have been assessed as likely to be Eligible Projects & Assets.	\checkmark
1.2 The expected Net Proceeds of the Bond shall be no greater than the Issuer's total investment exposure to the proposed Nominated Projects & Assets, or the relevant proportion of the total Market Value of the proposed Nominated Projects & Assets which are owned or funded by the Issuer.	The expected Net Proceeds of the Bond will be no greater than the Issuer's total investment exposure to the proposed Nominated Projects & Assets.	\checkmark
1.3 Nominated Projects & Assets shall not be nominated to other Certified Climate Bonds, Certified Climate Loans, Certified Climate Debt Instruments, green bonds, green loans or other	The Issuer has confirmed that Selected Projects have never been nominated to other Climate Bonds or that the existing Climate Bond is not	\checkmark



 labelled instruments (such as social bonds or SDG bonds) unless it is demonstrated by the Issuer that: 1.3.1 Distinct portions of the Nominated Projects & Assets are being funded by different Certified Climate Bonds, Certified Climate Loans, Certified Climate Debt Instruments, green bond, green loans or other labelled instruments or; 1.3.2 The existing Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Loan or Certified Climate Debt Instrument is being refinanced via another Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Debt Instrument is being refinanced via another Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Debt Instrument is being refinanced via another Certified Climate Bond, Certified Climate Bond,	being refinanced via another Climate Bond.	
2. Process for Evaluation and Selection of Projection	ts and Assets	
 2.1. The Issuer shall establish, document and maintain a decision-making process which it uses to determine the eligibility of the Nominated Projects & Assets. The decision-making process shall include, without limitation: 2.1.1. A statement on the climate-related objectives of the Bond. 2.1.2 How the climate-related objectives of the Bond are positioned within the context of the Issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability. 2.1.3 Issuer's rationale for issuing the Bond. 2.1.4 A process to determine whether the Nominated Projects & Assets meet the eligibility requirements specified in Part C of the Climate Bonds Standard. 	The Issuer establishes, documents and maintains its decision-making process in its Green Bond Framework, which includes all the required statements stipulated in the Climate Bonds Standard Requirement in 2.1.	\checkmark
 2.2 Issuer should include under Clause 2.1 further aspects of the decision-making process, including: 2.2.1 Related eligibility criteria, including, if applicable, exclusion criteria or any other process, applied to identify and manage potentially material environmental, social or governance risks associated with the Nominated Projects & Assets. 2.2.2 Green standards or certifications referenced in the selection of Nominated Projects & Assets. 	The Issuer has included related eligibility criteria, green standards or certifications referenced and assessment procedure in its Green Bond Framework, as stipulated in the Climate Bonds Standard Requirement in 2.2.	\checkmark



2.2.3 The issuer shall assess that all proposed		
Nominated Projects & Assets to be associated		
with the Bond meet the documented objectives as		
stated under Clause 2.1.1 and are likely to conform		
to the relevant eligibility requirements under Part		
C of the Climate Bonds Standard.		
3. Management of Proceeds		
3.1 The systems, policies and processes to be used for	The Issuer has documented the	
management of the Net Proceeds shall be documented	management of the Net Proceeds in	
by the Issuer and disclosed to the Verifier, and shall	its Green Bond Framework and the	\checkmark
include arrangements for the following activities:	relevant documents are disclosed to	
	the Verifier.	
3.1.1 The Net Proceeds of the Bond can be credited	According to the Issuer's Green	
to a sub- account, moved to a sub-portfolio, or	Bond Framework, a Green Bond sub-	
otherwise tracked by the Issuer in an appropriate	account is created for managing and	\checkmark
manner and documented.	tracking the Net Proceeds of the	
	Bond.	
3.1.2 The balance of unallocated Net Proceeds	This is disclosed in the Green Bond	
can be managed as per the requirements in	Framework as required in Clause 7.3	\checkmark
Clause 7.3.	Trainework as required in Clause 7.5.	
3.1.3 The earmarking process can be used to	The earmarking process is	
manage and account for funding to the	appropriately managed.	
Nominated Projects & Assets and enables	The Issuer has transparently	./
estimation of the share of the Net Proceeds being	communicated to the Verifier the	v
used for financing and refinancing.	estimated share of refinancing and it	
	is shown in the ANNEX.	
4. Reporting Prior To Issuance		
4.1 The Issuer shall prepare a Green Bond Framework		
and make it publicly available prior to Issuance or at	The Issuer has prepared and will	,
the time of Issuance. The Green Bond Framework shall	disclose its Green Bond Framework.	~
include, without limitation:		
4.1.1 Confirmation that the Bonds issued under	The Issuer has confirmed that the	
the Green Bond Framework are aligned with the	Bond issued under its Green Bond	
Climate Bonds Standard. This may include	Framework is aligned with the	
statements of alignment with other applicable	following criteria:	./
standards, such as the EU Green Bond Standard,	- ICMA Green Bond Principles	N
the ASEAN Green Bond Standard, Chinese	- China Green Bond Endorsed	
domestic regulations, Japanese Green Bond	Project Catalogue (2021 Edition)	
Guidelines, etc.	- CBI Climate Bonds Standard	



4.1.2 A summary of the expected use of	The Framework includes a	
proceeds, as defined under Clause 1.1, and the	description of the Eligible Projects, as	
expected contribution of the relevant sectors or	defined under Clause 1.1, and the	,
sub-sectors to the rapid transition required to	expected contribution to the rapid	~
achieve the goals of the Paris Climate	transition required to achieve the	
Agreement.	goals of the Paris Climate Agreement.	
4.1.3 A description of the decision-making	The Framework includes a	
process, as defined under Clause 2.1, with	description of the decision-making	
particular reference to the requirements in Clause	process, as defined under Clause 2.1,	\checkmark
2.1.2.	with particular reference to the	
	requirements in Clause 2.1.2.	
4.1.4 Information on the methodology and	The Issuer has disclosed in the Green	
assumptions to be used for: confirming, where	Bond Framework the methodology	-
required by relevant Sector Eligibility Criteria,	and assumptions to be used for	
the characteristics or performance of Nominated	confirming the characteristics or	
Projects & Assets required to conform to the	performance of Nominated Projects &	\checkmark
relevant eligibility requirements under Part C of	Assets required to conform to the	
the Climate Bonds Standard; and any other	relevant eligibility requirements	
additional impact metrics that the issuer will	under Part C of the Climate Bonds	
define.	Standard.	
4.1.5 A summary of the approach to the	A summary of the approach to the	
management of unallocated Net Proceeds in	management of unallocated Net	,
accordance with Clause 3.1.	Proceeds is included in the	\checkmark
	Framework.	
4.1.6 The intended approach to providing Update		
Reports to reaffirm conformance with the Climate	The Issuer will publish a Green Bond	,
Bonds Standard while the Bond remains	Report annually to provide updates.	N
outstanding.		
4.1.7 The list of proposed Nominated Projects &		
Assets associated with the Bond and the investment		
areas, as provided in Clause 9.1, into which the	The issuer will disclose investment	
Nominated Projects & Assets fall. Where there are	areas of Nominated Projects & Assets	
limits on the amount of detail that can be made	areas of Noninflated Trojects & Assets	
available about specific Nominated Projects &	Green Rond Report. But because of	\checkmark
Assets, information shall be presented on the	confidentiality a full list may not be	
investment areas which the Nominated Projects &	published	
Assets fall into, provided in Clause 9.1, and the	published.	
Issuer shall provide an explanation of why details		
on Nominated Projects & Assets is limited.		
4.1.8 Where a proportion of the Net Proceeds are	The Issuer has transparently	
used for refinancing, an estimate of the share of	communicated to the Verifier the	
the Net Proceeds used for financing and	estimated share of refinancing and it	
refinancing, and the relevant Nominated Projects	is shown in the ANNEX.	



& Assets or investment areas which may be		
refinanced. This may also include the expected		
look-back period for refinanced Nominated		
Projects & Assets.		
4.2 The Issuer shall include in the Disclosure		,
Documentation:		\checkmark
4.2.1 The investment areas, as provided in Clause	The selected Projects falls in the	
9.1, into which the Nominated Projects & Assets	"Solar", "Wind", "Low carbon	
fall.	transport" and "Marine renewable",	\checkmark
	classification of Climate Bonds	
	Taxonomy.	
4.2.2 The intended types of temporary investment	This is disclosed in the Crean Dond	
instruments for the management of unallocated	This is disclosed in the Green Bond	\checkmark
Net Proceeds in accordance with Clause 7.3.	Framework	
4.2.3 The Verifier engaged by the Issuer for the	The Issuer has commissioned Beijing	
mandatory verification engagements.	Zhongcai Green Financing Consultant	
	Ltd. as an independent third-party	
	Verifier approved by the Climate	\checkmark
	Bonds Standards Board to perform the	
	Pre-Issuance Verification of the	
	Bond.	
4.2.4 The intended approach to providing Update		
Reports to reaffirm conformance with the	The Issuer will publish a Green Bond	
Climate Bonds Standard while the Bond remains	Report annually on its website to	\checkmark
outstanding, including the location of the	provide updates.	
published documents.		
4.2.5 The CBI Disclaimer provided in the	The Issuer has confirmed in the	
Certification Agreement.	Certification Agreement that the CBI	~
	Disclaimer is going to be included in	Ŷ
	bond offer document.	



Part C: Eligibility of Projects & Assets

Technical criteria for Selected Projects & Assets:

1. Solar Criteria Version 2.1

CBI REQUIREMENTS	FACTUAL FINDINGS
1. Technical Criteria for Eligible Projects and Assets: Solar	
 Eligible Project & Assets relating to solar energy generation shall be projects or assets that operate or are under construction to operate in one or more of the following activities: Onshore solar electricity generation facilities Wholly dedicated transmission infrastructure and other supporting infrastructure for onshore solar electricity generation facilities including inverters, transformers, energy storage systems and control systems. Onshore solar thermal facilities such as solar hot water systems 	Onshore solar electricity generation facilities and onshore solar thermal facilities are eligible activities.

2. Wind Criteria Version 1.2

CBI REQUIREMENTS	FACTUAL FINDINGS	
2. Technical Criteria for Eligible Projects and Assets: Wind		
In general terms, wind energy assets relate to:		
 The establishment, acquisition, expansion, and/ or ongoing management of a specified onshore wind energy facility. The establishment, acquisition, expansion and/ or ongoing management of related inputs and infrastructure to support these facilities. 	Onshore wind energy generation facilities meet the Criteria requirements.	
These assets are eligible for inclusion in a Certified		
- The Mitigation requirement		

3. Low Carbon Transport Criteria Version 2.0

CBI REQUIREMENTS	FACTUAL FINDINGS
3. Technical Criteria for Eligible Projects and Assets: Low (Carbon Transport



Construction and development, purchase, and/or operation	Eligible Green Projects
of zero direct emissions urban rail transit lines, for	falling in this sub- category
example:	are eligible for Certification
- Construction of metro or tramway lines	under Low Carbon Transport
- Leasing of metro or subway lines	(Version 2.0).
- Network operators operating an urban rail transit	
network	
	·

4. Marine Renewable Energy Sector Criteria Version 1.2

4.1. Disclosure component:

In the interests of transparency and disclosure, Issuers of Certified Climate Bonds are required to publicly disclose the following in respect to the assets and use of proceeds incorporated in the issuance:

A dedicated website has been created for the Selected Project: https://doggerbank.com/.

CBI	REQUIREMENTS	
1	Project location and size, including description	The Dogger Bank Offshore
	of marine and coastal ecosystem in proximity	Development Zone, located between
	to planned installations, noting for example	125 and 290km off the east coast of
	whether located in marine protected areas or	Yorkshire, extends over
	vulnerable marine ecosystems	approximately 8660km ² and water
		depths range from 18m to 63m.
2	Projected lifespan of the asset/project	35 years
3	Key stakeholders involved, including other	The engagement of key stakeholders
	users of the area and surrounding area (sea,	is described in the Environmental
	land or air depending on what is applicable) of	Statement (ES) Report.
	the facility(ies)	
4	Description of project activities including	Whole description of the project
	details on installation, operation and	activities is included in the ES
	decommissioning activities	Report.
5	Expected/current facility capacity and	As reported on the project website,
	generation during and after the life of the bond	the project will have an installed
		capacity of up to 3.6GW.
6	Details of where the energy generated is being	The facilities are connected to a
	fed into, and estimated impact on grid mix	single Offshore Substation Platform
		through 66kV inter-array cables. The
		Platform connects to the onshore
		substation through a single 320kV



		export cable. The electricity will
		subsequently be converted to High-
		Voltage Alternating Current and
		connected to the grid via a 400 kV
		HVAC cable at the existing National
		Grid Electricity Transmission Creyke
		Beck substation.
		The expected impact on the National
		Grid is ca. 3.6 GW/ca. 75GW (5%).
7	Projected avoided GHG emissions compared	Expected avoided GHG emissions
	to fossil fuel counterfactual (in kgCO2e) using	calculated with UK electricity
	recognised conversion factors	conversion factor (in kg CO ₂ e): 1.27
		m tons CO ₂ annually.
8	The planning standards, environmental	The project has been required to
	regulations and other regulations that the	comply with the Planning Act 2008
	project has been required to comply with	of the Parliament of the United
		Kingdom, the Environmental Impact
		Assessment Regulations and other
		regulations

4.2. Mitigation Component:

Offshore wind energy generation facilities pass the mitigation component when in compliance with the requirement that Fossil fuel back up can only be used for restart capability and monitoring, operating or resilience measures in the event of no power in the system.

4.3. Adaptation & Resilience Requirements:

Checklist for evaluating the Issuer's Adaptation & Resilience performance in respect of a marine renewable energy facility:

	Item	Proof given	Overall assessment
Sect	Section 1: The issuer understands the climate related risks and vulnerabilities to the asset/ site		



		1	
1.1	Processes are in place (as part of both the asset design and		
	ongoing management) to assess key risks to the assets from a		
	changing climate and its impact on marine conditions		
	These key risks should include the following, plus any others		
	felt to be of concern for the operation of these assets. The risks	An Environmental Impact	
	should be identified and interpreted in terms of the impact on the	An Environmental Impact	
	asset and the related effects for the business - e.g. impact on	Assessments (EIA) process has	
	operating feasibility and schedules and potential system outages,	been conducted to ensure that	
	impact on maintenance requirements etc.	considered throughout the project	
	For all facilities	and the decision making process	
	• Sea level rise and storm surge	and the decision-making process,	
	• Extreme precipitation and flooding	and that any potential	
	• Increase in geophysical hazards such as earthquakes,	identified and accorded. As a result	Cood
	tsunamis, volcanic eruptions and landslides	of this accomment process	Good
	• Changes in wind and storm patterns and intensity	notantial managers to avoid or	
	• Changes in ocean temperature, currents and salinity levels	minimize any adverse impacts can	
	The issuer understands what level of climate change would mean	be identified	
	the asset/site is no longer viable, and understands under which	Conclusions of the FIA process	
	climate change scenarios this would happen	has been documented in the	
	enniate change scenarios uns would happen.	project Environmental Statement	
	These processes and assessments use climate information,	(FS) report	
	modelling and scenarios based on peer reviewed methodologies		
	and literature and considering the variability in modelled		
	scenarios.		
	If a project does not have any climate related risks or vulnerabilities		
	evidence must be given to show how this was determined.		
Sect	ion 2: The issuer understands the improvements and impacts in the l_{i}	arger context (spatially and tempora	illy) beyond the
2.1	Processes are in place (as part of both the asset design, ongoing		lat ecosystem)
	operation and decommissioning) to assess the improvements and		
	impacts the asset has on the resilience of other stakeholders in the		
	system in which it operates		
	These assessments address:		
	• Any ways in which renewable energy facilities		
	might affect, both positively and negatively, the	The Environmental Statement (ES)	
	climate resilience of other marine users or	report covers all relevant	Good
	relevant/local stakeholders/communities	impacts in the larger context.	
	• Any ways in which renewable energy facilities		
	improve the adaptation capacity of other marine		
	users or relevant/local stakeholders/communities.		
	e.g. Any potential impacts on other marine stakeholders of a		
	highly dense concentration of renewable energy facilities or		
	associated transmission lines?		



	e.g. Any potential impacts that renewable energy facilities may		
	have on coastal resilience by taking strength out of the wind,		
	waves, tidal flows, tidal range or by altering sedimentation		
	processes?		
	If a project does not have any impacts beyond the asset/site		
	evidence must be given to show how this was determined.		
Sect	ion 3: The issuer has designed and implemented strategies to mitigat	te and adapt to these climate risks an	ıd
vuln	erabilities	1	
3.1	An adaptation plan has been designed and is being implemented		
	to address the risks identified in assessments outlined above.		
	All risks identified are being addressed in the design and		
	The issuer has designed or amended asset maintenance plans to		
	ensure that scheduled maintenance is sufficient to cope with the	The project has adopted a strategic	
	ongoing impacts of climate change and a plan has been	planning process called Zone	
	established to govern how they approach emergency maintenance	Appraisal and Planning (ZAP)	
	needs arising from sudden climate change impacts (e.g. extreme	process to mitigate and adapt to	
	storms)	these climate risks and	
	The issuer has remotely controlled or automated shutdown	vulnerabilities.	
	procedures training capacity and governance arrangements in	The ZAP process assists	
	place to manage the impacts of exceptional events (such as	developers in making informed	
	avtrame storms, winds etc.)	decisions on the location of their	Good
	The issuer has monitoring and reporting systems and processes to	projects offshore by providing a	
	identify high risk scenarios	mechanism for the early	
	The important of the second se	consideration of environmental.	
	The issuer has contingency plans to address disruptions to	planning and engineering	
	operations or loss of the asset and any resulting environmental	constraints	
	or social damage.	The information collected in the	
	The issuer has processes for feeding risk assessments back into	7 AP process is included in the	
	decision making	Zonal Characterisation Document	
	The issuer has a budget allocated to implementing the adaptation		
	plan and has a named member of staff responsible for its		
	implementation.		
	The issuer complies with any existing broader or higher-		
2.2	level adaption plans, such as NAPAs.		
3.2	Inspections are carried out regularly and there is a maintenance	According to the Environmental	
	regime in place for future inspections with evidence that this is	Statement of the project, the ZAP	
	adhered to.	process of the project will	
		continue throughout the	
		development phase. The outcome	Good
		of the initial ZAP and project	
		development processes will inform	
		subsequent ZAP and project	
		development phases.	



Sect beyo	ion 4: Issuer is pursuing strategies that promote resilience and adapt ond	tation across the area in which it oper	ates and
4.1	Issuer is involved in stakeholder engagement and collaboration (e.g. policy development, consultation, collaboration and active engagement with other marine users) e.g. Engaging in hazard response planning for the area, or recovery planning and operations after severe events e.g. Pursuing potential climate resilience benefits for the local area that could be delivered by the marine renewable energy facility, such as a tidal lagoon providing additional storm surge protection for local towns e.g. Alterations made to day-to-day operating procedures in response to stakeholder engagement	The ZAP process adopted by ZAP is advocated by Marine Management Organisation, which is the government's principal regulator for most activities in English waters. The information and design decisions presented within the project's Environmental Statement have been informed through an extensive programme of consultation, including the provision of Preliminary Environmental Information.	Good
Sectio	on 5: Issuer is delivering positive impacts (or no harm) in terms of k	ey sustainability indicators	
5.1 5.2	The asset or project does not put in jeopardy endangered or at- risk species or habitats or unduly impact ecosystem services. Where there are possible negative impacts to habitats, species, biodiversity, or ecosystem services, mitigation measures are implemented to offset the negative impacts. E.g. Noise and vibration generated by marine renewable energy arrays may disrupt animals, such as marine mammals, fish, birds, turtles, and invertebrates that rely on sound for navigation and other essential functions. The potential for collision-related injury or mortality of marine animals is a key parameter for impact assessment, particularly for tidal energy projects. Alteration of water circulation, sediment transport, and other physical flows by marine renewable energy devices as well as introduction of new electromagnetic fields (e.g. via suspended or seafloor cables) may negatively impact habitat quality. This might be especially relevant for tidal barrage, but should be considered for all marine renewable arrays. N.B. In many jurisdictions this will be well covered by existing regulatory or licensing requirements, and those can be referenced here if they provide sufficient evidence to cover this requirement.	 The Environmental Statement of the project has demonstrated the assessment outcomes of: Marine and coastal ornithology Marine and intertidal ecology Fish and shellfish ecology Marine mammals Seascape Landscape Terrestrial ecology which shows the project does not put in jeopardy endangered or at- risk species or habitats or unduly impact ecosystem services. For example, the discharge of sewage waste will be collected, treated and discharged to sea in accordance with the requirements of MARPOL 	Good
5.2	Waste is responsibly dealt with, including appropriate disposal of construction waste and oil- based lubricants, including recycling options where possible. Also, reuse or recycling where possible of equipment after decommissioning.	The Environmental Statement covers all relevant issues in terms of waste disposal. In addition to ensuring that all working practices	Good



	N.B. In many jurisdictions this will be well covered by	and vessels adhere to the	
	existing regulatory or licensing requirements, and those	requirements of the MARPOL	
	can be referenced here if they provide sufficient evidence	Convention Regulations, control	
	to cover this requirement.	measures to be included within a	
		Project Environmental	
		Management and Monitoring Plan	
		(EMMP) will be put in place in	
		order to minimise the risk of a spill	
		as far as possible.	
5.3	The issuer has recognised and listed the potential risks for	According to the Environmental	
	accidental site contamination either from leakage of	Statement, the risk of	
	hydraulic fluid (or any other potential pollutant) or from	contamination as a result of	
	wreckage/debris on the sea bed. Demonstrable steps have	accidental spillage of material or	
	been taken to minimise these risks and plans have been	discharges of waste water is	
	made for clean-up should a site contamination event	assessed as low due to	
	occur.	environmental protection and	
		control measures which will be	
		implemented.	
		Examples of steps include:	
		- All use of chemicals and the	
		activity that requires them	
		will consider ways in which a	
		potential pathway from the	
		activity could lead to	
		discharge of the chemical to	Good
		the sea. Where possible	
		control measures will be	
		implemented to remove this	
		pathway;	
		- All vessels should carry spill	
		kits for dealing with spills on	
		vessel decks;	
		- All vessel personal should be	
		trained in and be familiar	
		with the Project EMMP;	
		- The discharge of sewage	
		waste will be collected,	
		treated and discharged to sea	
		in accordance with the	
		requirements of MARPOL.	
5.4	Decommissioning of the plant is planned in a way that considers	The Environmental Statement	<i>c</i>
	N B. In many jurisdictions this will be well covered by	provides details of the	Good
	The many junished one and will be well covered by		



	existing regulatory or licensing requirements, and those	decommissioning scenarios for the	
	can be referenced here if they provide sufficient evidence	plant. The environmental impact	
	to cover this requirement.	predicted for the worst case	
		scenario of decommissioning is	
		minor adverse significance.	
5.5	Issuer has plans and processes in place to effectively manage and	The Environmental Statement	
	minimise conflict with other users of the marine and coastal space	includes an analysis of possible	
	N.B. In some jurisdictions this will be well covered by	impact on other marine users,	
	existing regulatory or licensing requirements, national or	including users of other renewable	
	regional marine plans and/ or marine spatial plans, and the	energy projects; carbon capture	
	application of or conformity with these regulations or	and storage; oil and gas activity;	
	plans can be referenced here if they provide sufficient	underground coal gasification;	
	evidence to cover this requirement.	aggregate extraction;	Good
		and subsea cables and pipelines.	
		The project area is crossed by, and	
		is close to, telecommunications	
		cables and pipelines. A separation	
		distance will be maintained to	
		allow for necessary operation	
		and maintenance activity.	



Annex. List of the Nominated	Projects	(Confidential)
------------------------------	-----------------	----------------

Project Type	Project Location	Loan Balance	Currency	Planned Power Generation Capacity	Proportion of Refinancing
Solar Power	Shengping, Anda, Suihua, Heilongjiang, China	178,000,000	USD	400MW	100%
Solar Power	Dubai, United Arab Emirates	242,000,000	USD	700MW CSP and 250MW PV	100%
Wind Power	Puyang, Henan, China	248,000,000	USD	500MW	100%
Marine Renewables	North Sea, UK	23,000,000	USD	1,200MW	None
Low Carbon Transport	Changsha, Hunan, China	966,000,000	USD		100%
Low Carbon Transport	Chengdu, China	804,000,000	USD		100%
Low Carbon Transport	Guangzhou, China	774,000,000	USD		100%
Low Carbon Transport	Beijing, China	727,000,000	USD		100%
Low Carbon Transport	Shengzhen, China	697,000,000	USD		100%
Total Loan Balance		4,659,000,000	USD		-
Expected Net Proceeds of the Bond		No greater than 3,000,000,000	USD		-