**REVISIONS TO THE CLIMATE BONDS STANDARD - 2022**

**REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK**

Feedback is requested on the proposed amendments to the Climate Bonds Standard as presented in the Climate Bonds Standard v4.0 available [here](https://www.climatebonds.net/climate-bonds-standard-v4). Supporting information for the changes that relate to the expansion of the Standard to include the certification of non-financial corporates and SLBs from non-financial corporates are available [here](https://www.climatebonds.net/transition-finance-transforming-companies).

Please provide written feedback directly into this Word document. We are particularly interested on your thoughts on the questions below, but please feel free to give any and all feedback. All is welcome. Please make clear in your responses below where you are referring to specific sections of the Standard where appropriate.

**Please send your responses to** **public.consutation@climatebonds.net** **before 4 November 2022.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent name** |  |
| **Respondent organisation** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part A**1. Part A applies to all Certifications under the Climate Bonds Standard (Use of Proceeds, Asset, Entity and SLB). Do you have any comments on these common requirements?
 |
| Feedback:  |
| **Part B: Use of proceeds certification**1. Do you agree with the addition of Issuer level requirements for UoP instruments (B.2.3)
 |
| Feedback: |
| **Part C: Asset certification**1. Is there value in offering Certification for the eligible projects and assets, applicable at a particular point in time only?
2. Should we impose safeguards on the Certified Asset owners similar to Clause D5?
3. Any concerns from a verification perspective?
 |
| Feedback: |
| **Part D: Entity certification**1. Are there any issues with allowing the applicant to choose the boundary of the Assessed Entity? Are the boundary definitions here sufficiently prescriptive? (D.2.2)
2. Is the 90% ‘pass threshold’ appropriate? (D.2.3)
3. Is there value in having differentiated labels for tier 1 and tier 2 performance levels? (D.3.1)
4. Do you see any challenges in assessing compliance of the Transition Plan and how might they be mitigated? (D.3.2)
5. Are the Non-Assessed Segments sufficiently well defined? (D.5)
6. Are the safeguards on Non-Assessed Segments appropriate and practicable? (D.5)
7. Do you see any issues with the extent, frequency and format of external reporting requirements? (D.6)
8. Any concerns from a verification perspective?
 |
| Feedback: |
| **Part E: SLB certification**1. Are the SLB rules sufficiently robust?
2. Do you see any issues with the extent, frequency and format of external reporting requirements? (D.6)
3. Any concerns from a verification perspective?
 |
| Feedback: |
| **Any general feedback** |
| Feedback: |