## CLIMATE BONDS STANDARD

Globally recognised, Paris-aligned Certification of Debt Instruments, Entities and Assets using robust, science-based methodologies

# CHECKLIST FOR ENTITY CERTIFICATION

APRIL 2023 **Version 1.0** 

Accompanies the Climate Bonds Standard v4.0



The Checklist below describes more precisely what is required in order to meet the Entity Certification criteria as described in Part C of the <u>Climate Bonds Standard v4.0</u>. The Guidance column provides supporting information that may be useful in interpreting the Criteria and associated Checklist requirements.

Climate Bonds will also make available on its website an Excel version of the Checklist for use in Verification Engagements.

The Checklist and supporting guidance have been prepared by Climate Bonds to address its specific Certification Criteria, but a number of sources of similar guidance have informed this.<sup>1</sup>

These include but are not limited to: ACT Framework (act-framework-eng-2019-04-09.pdf (actinitiative.org), Moody's proposed Net Zero Assessment methodology, The Transition Plan Taskforce (TPT) Implementation guidance (TPT-Implementation-Guidance-1.pdf (transitiontaskforce.net)), GFANZ's Expectations for Real-Economy Transitions (Expectations-for-Real-economy-Transition-Plans-September-2022.pdf (bbhub.io) and Recommendations-and-Guidance-on-Financial-Institution-Net-zero-Transition-Plans-November-2022.pdf (bbhub.io), The Transition Pathway Initiative's methodology report: Management Quality and Carbon Performance Version 4.0, November 2021 (90.pdf (transitionpathwayinitiative.org) (90.pdf (transitionpathwayinitiative.org), SBTi's Net Zero Standard v1.0 (Net-Zero-Standard.pdf (sciencebasedtargets.org)), SBTi's Target Validation Protocol (target-validation-protocol.pdf (sciencebasedtargets.org)), TCFD Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures 2021-Metrics Targets Guidance-1.pdf (bbhub.io), Illustrative Examples of Selected aspects or EER Assurance Engagements under ISAE3000 https://www.iaasb.org/publications/non-authoritative-guidance-applying-isae-3000-revised-extended-external-reporting-assurance), IFRS Exposure Draft S1 General Requirements for Disclosure of Sustainability-related Financial Information, (Climate Action 100+ Net Zero Company Benchmark PDF, Oct 2022) and Climate Action 100+ Net Zero Company Benchmark PDF v1.2 Oct 2022), ICMA\_Climate Transition Finance Handbook, December 2020 (Climate-Transition-Finance-Handbook-December-2020-091220.pdf (icmagroup.org))

#### C.2.2. Boundary of the Certified **Entity**

The Certified Entity may be a group of legal entities within a Parent Group, a single legal entity or a segregated segment thereof, at the Applicant's discretion, subject to the following conditions:

Certification of a Parent Company must include subsidiaries and other controlled companies within its boundary. The sole exception is where no

- policies and procedures
- Governance structures

#### To obtain Certification

If the Certified Entity includes a Parent Company, none of the Parent Group's financial, sustainability or regulatory reports include activities within the Parent Group that are covered by Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria but are not in the assessed boundary.

Management representations to this effect have been given by the Governing Body of the Parent

Associates and Joint Ventures over which the Parent or holding company has significant influence (i.e., the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions) but not the power to control as defined in Clause C.2.1, will be excluded from the boundary. Dormant companies can be excluded/ignored.

This requires at a minimum a review of audited financial statements, management reports, sustainability reports and regulatory reports (where relevant).

## Criteria as written in PART C of the Climate Bonds Standard v4.0

Sector Eligibility Criteria are available to assess the activities of any subsidiary or controlled company, in which case those subsidiaries or controlled companies may be excluded from the boundary of the Certified Entity.

- A segregated segment thereof means a subdivision of a legal entity. This can be set as the Certified Entity and Certified in its own right only if no Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria exist for the other subdivisions of the legal entity.
- Where an economic activity of the Certified Entity is carried out across multiple sub-divisions or subsidiaries or companies controlled by the same legal entity, the Applicant must include all such subdivisions and subsidiaries within the boundary of the Certified Entity.

#### Certification Checklist

Company on behalf of the Parent Group.

For subsequent annual verifications
As 'To obtain Certification'.

It is encouraged (but not required) that the boundary of the Certified Entity is aligned with the Parent Group's reporting structure that is in

Additional guidance

the Parent Group's reporting structure that is in accordance with an international disclosure framework such as those developed by the Task Force on Climate Related Disclosures (TCFD) and the International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) and/or recognised accounting frameworks such as the IFRS.

It is recommended that Applicants seek
Certification at the parent- or group-level, not at
the subsidiary level. However, recognising that
the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria do
not have full coverage across all economic
activities, where they are separate legal entities,
subsidiary companies can be certified
independently of their Parent Companies and cosubsidiaries.

## C.2.3. Obtaining Entity Certification

The Applicant receives a Verification Report from an Approved Verifier that:

- i. The proportion of the Certified Entity's economic activities that are counted as complying with the Climate Performance, Delivery Strategy, Governance and Disclosure requirements in Clauses C.3.1, C.3.2, C.3.3 and C.5 respectively exceeds 90% of the Certified Entity's total economic activities; and
- The Parent Group meets the Climate Safeguard and Disclosure requirements in Clauses C.4 and C.5 respectively.

And the Applicant supplies to the Climate Bonds Standard Board, through the Climate Bonds Standard Secretariat, a copy of the Verification Report or shares its location on a public website, for the purpose

#### To obtain Certification

Demonstration of compliance with the Certification threshold supported by either the latest audited financial statements and/or independently verified/ audited management reporting (revenue route) *or* audited GHG emissions determined in line with the GHG protocol within the last 2 years.

Compliance with all the 'To obtain Certification' requirements documented under Clauses C.3, C.4 and C.5.

#### For subsequent annual verifications

n/a

Methodology for assessing compliance with the 90% Certification Threshold:

<u>Step 1</u>: At the discretion of the Applicant, the operations of the Certified Entity must be disaggregated into its component 'business activities' according to either:

- Turnover (revenue): historical revenue after the elimination of any exceptional/extraordinary or otherwise non-recurring amounts per the latest audited annual financial reports and/or independently verified/ audited management reporting; or
- GHG emissions: determined in line with the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard and GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard. This assessment to be based on emission data that is independently verified/audited by an accredited GHG assessor and not more than 2 years old.

<u>Step 2</u>: For each business activity thus identified that is fully compliant with requirements in Clauses C.3 and C.5, the proportion of revenue / GHG emissions allocated to that activity must be counted as complying with the Standard.

Clarifying notes:

Criteria as writte Standard v4.0	en in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
	of publishing on the Climate Bonds Initiative website.  See Box C1 for the application process for obtaining Entity Certification.  A note on terminology: This 90% is defined as the 'Certification Threshold', and economic activities which meet the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets, Delivery Strategy, Governance and Disclosure requirements per Clauses C.3.1, C.3.2, C.3.3 and C.5 respectively and hence form part of this 90% are the 'Compliant Activities'.  To assess compliance with this threshold the economic activities of the Certified Entity are disaggregated and weighted according to either their revenue share or share of GHG emissions. See the 'Entity Certification Checklist' document for details on the methodology to determine compliance.		<ol> <li>Where an entity chooses to disaggregate its operations by Revenue, it is required that the boundary of the Certified Entity is aligned with the Parent Group's management and financial reporting structure.</li> <li>It is required that 100% of the revenue/emissions of operationally controlled activity should be included, not just the share of revenue/emissions per any financial or equity control proportions.</li> <li>Revenue/emissions (depending on the basis selected for disaggregation) from any activities that cannot be assessed against Climate Bonds Standard entity-level sector-specific criteria must not be counted towards the certification threshold. This includes revenue (or emissions) from financial activities such as trading or investment.</li> <li>Business operations pursuing the same economic activity are addressed collectively through one assessment.</li> <li>If taking the GHG emissions route to allocation, carbon offsets or credits or avoided emissions should not be taken into account.</li> <li>If taking the GHG emissions route to allocation, estimates using tools such as the Scope 3 Evaluator to calculate scope 3 emission category(ies) are acceptable, although primary data is preferable and best practice.</li> <li>See ghg-protocol-revised.pdf (ghgprotocol.org) and Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard   Greenhouse Gas Protocol (ghgprotocol.org)</li> <li>The Applicant may opt to make publicly accessible a more concise public version of the Verification Report, in which case the full assurance report shall be made available to the Climate Bonds Standard Secretariat.</li> </ol>
C.2.4 Maintaining Entity certification	The Applicant receives an annual Verification Report from an Approved Verifier that:	To obtain Certification  n/a  For subsequent annual verifications	For guidance on (re)assessing compliance with the Certification Threshold, see Clause C.2.3 Obtaining Entity Certification.
	In respect of the Certified Entity:  i. If at Certification the Certified Entity followed the revenue share basis for compliance with the Certification threshold (see Clause C.2.3) and subsequently increased the revenue of any economic activity of the Certified Entity that did not previous contribute to the	If, since the last verification engagement, the revenue share of any existing part of the Certified Entity activities that did not previously contribute to the Certified Entity meeting the Certification Threshold has increased, demonstration of compliance with the Certification threshold supported by the latest audited financial statements and/or independently verified/ audited management reporting.	

Certified Entity meeting the compliance threshold,

reporting.

	ication Checklist	Additional guidance
then the Applicant has reassessed its compliance with the Certification requirements.	pliance with all the 'For equent annual verifications' rements documented under es C.3, C.4 and C.5.	Additional guidance

Criteria as writte Standard v4.0	n in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
Box C2: Revocation of Entity Certification	Entity Certification will also be rescinded at any time where:  1. The Applicant becomes aware that the Certified Entity and/ or the Parent Group no longer conforms with the Climate Bonds Standard and provides a written statement to that effect to the Climate Bonds Standard Secretariat; or  2. A Verification Engagement commissioned by the Climate Bonds Standard Board, finds that the Certified Entity and/ or the Parent Group no longer conforms with the Climate Bonds Standard; or  3. The Applicant has breached any contractual obligation towards the Climate Bonds Initiative.  In addition, if the Certified Entity has undertaken new economic activities in addition to those previously taken into account when assessing compliance with the Certification Threshold (per Clause C.2.3.), the Applicant must re-apply for certification within 12 months of the start of the new economic activity. This new application must consider the new, expanded economic activities of the Certified Entity. If reapplication is not made or is not compliant with this PART C of the Standard, the existing Entity Certification will be	To obtain Certification  n/a  For subsequent annual verifications  n/a	Events triggering a necessary reapplication for Certification include but are not limited to: acquisitions or mergers by the Certified Entity.  Example: At the time of certification, 100% of the Certified Entity's revenue came from steel production. Two years later the Certified Entity acquires and gains operational and financial control over a revenue generating cement production facility. In this case, a redefining of the Certified Entity and its business activities would be required, to ensure it continues to meet all the requirements for Certification. If not, Certification will be revoked.  If reapplication for Certification is being made, compliance must be to the Climate Bonds Standard (including the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria) in force at the time of reapplication, not the Standard in force at the time of initial Certification.  Contractual obligations to Climate Bonds include, but are not limited to, reporting requirements, payment of the certification fee in accordance with the Climate Bonds Certification Fee Policy.

## revoked. C.3 TRANSITION PLAN FOR COMPLIANT ACTIVITIES

For each of the economic activities of the Certified Entity identified per Clause C.2.3., all of the following requirements must be met for it to be deemed a Compliant Activity.

A Transition Plan is a time-bound and trackable strategy and roadmap presenting the plans and actions for reducing emissions to achieve the future Climate Mitigation Performance Targets, and the assumptions underpinning it.

It should demonstrate that the Certified Entity has identified viable activities in a low-carbon economy that it intends to engage in, and that action plans and mechanisms are being put in place to deliver the transition in line with the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets set, and

Criteria as written Standard v4.0	in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
			this transition has been fully integrated into the entity's wider business model and forward plans.
			The Plan must include the elements detailed here but need not be limited to them. However, any additional elements beyond those detailed here will not be within the scope of Certification and Certification confers no assurance over them.
			Specifically, if the Transition Plan includes Performance Targets beyond climate mitigation e.g., relating to social goals or biodiversity, water or other environmental goals are not assessed under the Climate Bonds Standard, and Certification confers no assurance over those Performance Targets or any associated Delivery Strategy or governance structure.
C.3.1 CLIMATE PE	RFORMANCE		
C.3.1.1. Climate Mitigation Performance Targets	3.1.1.1. Time horizon The Climate Mitigation Performance Targets cover the time from the date of certification to the date the activity is intended to hit net zero emissions, or 2050, whichever is sooner.  3.1.1.2. Interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets The Climate Mitigation Performance Targets include interim targets on a three-yearly basis for the five-year term of Certification and a five-yearly basis thereafter over the full time horizon described in Clause C.3.1.1.1  3.1.1.3. Alignment with decarbonisation pathways of the Climate Bonds Standard The interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets (per Clause C.3.1.1.2) are benchmarked against the climate mitigation criteria in the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria and align with those criteria by 2030 at the latest.  N.B. Different levels of Entity	To obtain Certification  Documented Climate Mitigation Performance Targets meet the requirements laid out in Clauses C.3.1.1.  Board minutes and related documents not older than 12 months from the application for Certification documenting approval of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets that meet the requirements.  The sole exceptions to this are if:  - The Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria do not ratchet down over time (i.e. the criteria are static over time), and the activity already meets those criteria.  - The Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria do ratchet down over time, but the activity is already at net zero emissions.  In these cases the requirement for Board approval can be waived.  For subsequent annual verifications  Any interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets falling due since the previous annual verification engagement have been met.  Or, for any interim Climate	A list of the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria available for the purposes of Entity and SLD Certification is available here. There is no cross-sectoral pathway. If criteria for a sector do not exist, then that sector/ activity cannot form part of the Certified Entity, unless it forms part of the 10% outside of the Certification Threshold per Clause C.2.2.  The Climate Mitigation Performance Targets need not be limited to but must address all metrics and criteria listed in the relevant Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria. These elements include pathways of GHG emissions intensity metrics that take into account all material scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions in all cases, and for some activities may also include other quantitative or qualitative indicators and requirements. See the appropriate Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria for details.  Carbon credits or avoided emissions may be used in addition to achieving alignment with the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria but cannot be used as a means to achieve alignment with those Sector Criteria and do not form part of the scope of this assessment.  For a definition of the Board, see Clause C.4.1.
	N.B. Different levels of Entity Certification are awarded depending on the date the	Or, for any interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets that have not been met, corrective	

Clause C.3.3.3)

actions are being taken to address

this within 12 months (in line with

If Climate Mitigation Performance Targets have been reset since the

Climate Mitigation

Performance Targets align with

the Climate Bonds Standard

Sector Criteria – see Box C4.

3.1.1.4. Board approval

Criteria as written	in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
Standard v4.0	The Board of the Certified Entity has approved the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets no earlier than one year prior to application for Certification.	previous verification engagement (in line with Clause C.3.3.2), those reset targets meet the 'To obtain Certification' requirements above.  Board minutes document Board signoff of any reset Climate Mitigation Performance Targets reset since the previous verification engagement.	
Box C4: Two levels of Entity Certification	Two levels of Entity Certification are available, depending on when the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets align with the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria.  Level 1 – "Aligned": The Climate Mitigation Performance Targets align with the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria at the time of certification and thereafter until the date the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets represent net zero emissions or 2050, whichever is sooner. Level 2 – "Transition": The Climate Mitigation Performance Targets do not align with the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria at the time of certification but align by 2030 and thereafter until the date the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets represent net zero emissions or 2050, whichever is sooner.  In addition, for both levels, the Delivery Strategy and governance for achieving those Climate Mitigation Performance Targets meets the requirements described in Clauses C.3.2. and C.3.3. respectively, the Parent Group complies with the requirements described in Clause C.4 and the disclosure requirements of Clause C.5 are met.	n/a	If less than 90% of the Certified Entity's activities meet Level 1 requirements at the time of certification, but more than 90% meet Level 2 requirements, the Certified Entity will be eligible only for Level 2 certification.  If performance levels improve and Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and the Delivery Strategy are subsequently reset during the term of Certification such that the Certified Entity subsequently attains the 90% threshold required for Level 1, then certification can be 'upgraded' from Level 2 to Level 1 certification. This is subject to audited verification of this improved performance and reset Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and a Delivery Strategy that meet the requirements of Clauses C.3.1.1. and C.3.2 respectively.  Under Level 2, 'align by 2030' means alignment with the thresholds of 2030 by 2030, not alignment with the thresholds at the time of certification by 2030.
C.3.1.2. Climate Adaptation & Resilience Performance	The economic activity complies with any Climate Adaptation & Resilience Criteria specified in the relevant Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria.	To obtain Certification  Any requirements documented under 'Climate Resilience Criteria' in the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria	Not all Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria have Climate Adaptation and Resilience Criteria at this time. If this is the case, then Clause C.3.1.2 can be ignored.

Criteria as written Standard v4.0	in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
		For subsequent annual verifications	
		Any requirements documented under 'Climate Resilience Criteria' in the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria	
C.3.2. DELIVERY ST	RATEGY		
C.3.2.1. Board approval of the Delivery Strategy	If a Delivery Strategy is required for the economic activity*, that Strategy:  • Includes all the elements listed in Clauses C.3.2.2. and C.3.2.3.; and  • Has been approved by the Board of the Certified Entity no more than one year prior to the application for Certification.	To obtain Certification  The Delivery Strategy addresses and is tailored to all the activities of the Certified Entity that are to be counted towards compliance with the Certification Threshold (see Clause C.2.3.)  Board minutes and related documents documenting Board approval of the Delivery Strategy and all the supporting documents in Clause C.3.2., dated within one year of the date of the application for Certification.	In essence, activities for which emissions are already near net zero do not require a Delivery Strategy. See supplementary guidance for the list of activities that do/ do not require a Delivery Strategy here.  If the Delivery Strategy encompasses a number of different economic activities that collectively form all or part of the Certified Entity, each Compliant Activity (per Clause C.2.3) must be clearly addressed and identifiable within each of the elements of the (combined) Delivery Strategy described below, so that is it clear how the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets for that activity will be delivered.
	* See supplementary guidance for the <u>list of economic</u> activities that do/ do not require a Delivery Strategy.	For subsequent annual verifications  Board minutes document Board approval of any Delivery Strategy reset since the previous verification engagement.	For a definition of the Board, see Clause C.4.1.
C.3.2.2 Foundations	The Delivery Strategy includes the following foundational elements		
C.3.2.2.1. Vision	A Vision outlining the strategic objectives and priorities of the transition, outlining what the Certified Entity will look like in terms of its future activities, physical assets and business model that align with the long-term Climate Mitigation Performance Targets of Clause C.3.1.1.	To obtain Certification  For each Compliant Activity of the Certified Entity, the Vision describes:  - The evolved product and/ or service that will deliver the long-term Climate Mitigation Performance Targets.  - The future operating model and supporting asset base that will underpin this.  - The associated priorities to deliver the long-term Climate Mitigation Performance Targets.  - Any future reliance on new technologies and changed policies.  The Vision is compatible with the long term Climate Mitigation Performance Targets see Clause C.3.1.1.	The Vision will be specific to the context of the activities of the Certified Entity. Factors to be considered include investments in low-GHG technologies, any phasing out or other disposal or assets or activities that cannot be decarbonised, value chain engagement activities and lending or investment objectives.  As carbon credits or avoided emissions may not be used to achieve alignment with Climate Bonds Sector Criteria, the role of any carbon offsets, carbon removals and avoided emissions the Certified Entity anticipates employing to address any residual emissions over and above those required to meet the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria should be clearly demarcated.
		disclosures made in sustainability reporting and wider TCFD reporting of the Certified Entity. In particular, it responds to the climate-related risks and opportunities identified by the	

Criteria as written in PART C of the Standard v4.0	Certification Cl	hecklist	Additional guidance	
	Any amendme the previous ve meet the 'To o			
Strategic how each of the Narrative Activities of the will evolve from position to the	To obtain Certified Entity metheir current extise Vision of Clause ing into account ext.  a. Current GHr absolute en other metricintensity de Bonds Stand Including in current sou and how an been calculab. The nature decarbonisa pulled and a their emissi (identifying emissions transition at acquise retire ii. Change Entity portforiii. Adopt techn iv. Change relation comportunitie incorporate d. The key interest entity faces impact on sidecarbonisa e. Key sources e. Key sources e. Key sources entity faces impact on sidecarbonisa entity	strategic narrative ty of the Certified tegic narrative  G emissions, in hission terms and any cs such as emissions tailed in the Climate dard Sector Criteria. formation on the rces of GHG emissions d by whom this has ated and verified. and timing of ation levers to be a quantification of ons reduction any potential rade-offs). These to not be limited to: ges to the structure of ertified Entity, ling any organic th, mergers and sitions, divestments or ments ges in the Certified 's product and service blio tion of any new ologies ges in supply chain onships. d market trends, bowth, pricing and derpinning the s well as regulatory ment policy s. This includes how nge risks and es have been d. ernal and external risks s that the Certified and the potential pecific	The content of the Strategic Narrative will be specific to the Certified Entity according to their business context, such as relevant region-specific considerations, organization size and market position, operating model.  However, where the appropriate Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria include key actions that would be required for Certification these must be incorporated into the decarbonisation levers of the narrative strategy.  Depending on the nature of the decarbonisation lever, external evidence may include academic studies, third party expert verification, evidence from comparable action taken in similar circumstances, contractual undertakings, government or regulator undertakings or established market trends.  The scopes and sources of GHGs addressed in the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria must be included in the estimation of current GHG emissions. Methodologies to estimate emissions must follow those outlined in the Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria.  The strategy may rely on nascent or mostly existing or incremental technology improvements. In the former case a greater body of evidence is required to support the assumptions made in respect of the anticipated resulting emissions reductions.  In particular, where the strategy relies on nascent technologies the narrative is supported by external assessment of the technological readiness and potential emissions reductions over time of the technology, and evidence that exploration of the technology, and evidence that exploration of the technology has started, such as pilot projects. Strategies may not rely on non-existent or non-proven technologies.  Where decarbonisation is dependent on regulatory or government policy or changes in consumer demand, assumptions are consistent with known policies, market trends or anticipated scenarios from credible third parties. Key growth business areas are not in geographies with unsupportive policy and other unsupportive conditions. Where decarbonisation is assumed to be passive unsupportive condition	

outcome.

f. The source and significance of any

locked-in GHG emissions.

Where decarbonisation is assumed to be passive this should be clearly indicated, and supportive

evidence provided for those external factors. For

Criteria as written in PART C of the Climate Bonds	
Standard v4.0	

#### **Certification Checklist**

g. How the Certified Entity will embed the strategic ambition of its Transition Plan in its wider business model, highlighting key implications for products and services, resourcing and operational and capital expenditure, acquisitions or divestments.

The Strategic Narrative breaks the timeline down into 3-yearly intervals to 2035, and a 5-yearly basis thereafter until the earlier of the target date for net zero and 2050.

#### Robustness

The decarbonisation levers are broadly consistent with those of net zero pathways for the sector.

The plausibility of this strategic narrative is supported by external evidence regarding the feasibility and timing of the decarbonisation levers and their estimated impact on emissions. Material deviations are explained and supported. If expected emissions reductions are substantially larger when compared to similar measures adopted elsewhere, reasonable explanation must be given to justify this. Overall the evidence base is appropriate, complete and accurate.

Quantitative future outcomes are calculated on the basis of the given scenarios, assumptions, base data and other information.

The timing and anticipated emissions reductions of the decarbonisation levers are compatible with the (interim) Climate Mitigation Performance Targets per Clause C.3.1.1.

#### Reporting consistency

The Strategic Narrative is compatible with disclosures made in sustainability reporting and any wider TCFD reporting of the Certified Entity. In particular, it responds to the climate-related risks and opportunities identified by the Certified Entity through any TCFD reporting processes.

#### For subsequent annual verifications

The strategic narrative described is compatible with made in any post

#### Additional guidance

example, for decarbonisation of the grid, via globally recognized energy decarbonization scenarios that take into account the plans and commitments of those responsible for the grid.

Where decarbonisation is dependent on reducing emissions in its value chain, evidence will be required to support the assumed capacity of the Certified Entity to influence its value chain. For example, entities may have greater influence on their suppliers when their orders account for a meaningful share of the suppliers' business and when multiple sourcing alternatives exist. A reliance on large, publicly listed suppliers may also facilitate the adoption of ambitious emissions reduction compared to small and midsized companies (SMEs). Greater concentration in the supplier base can also support value chain decarbonization efforts as it may be easier to engage and coordinate emissions reduction actions.

Potential emissions trade-offs might include possible increases in short-term emissions that result from actions that are necessary for delivering long-term emissions reduction across the operations.

Variations in assumptions around prices, the existence of product substitutes and regulatory policies (e.g., subsidies for low-carbon products or taxes on more carbon-intensive substitutes) can materially impact the future acceptance of low-carbon products by customers and thus raise questions about the robustness of the Strategic Narrative.

Cuitouio oo u mitton	in DADT C of the Climate Bands	Combilination Chaptelist	Additional authoria
Standard v4.0	in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
C.3.2.3.6. Broader social and environmental alignment  C.3.2.3 Implementation plans	A position statement aligning the Vision and Strategic Narrative of Clauses C.3.2.2.1 and C.3.2.2.2. with the Certified Entity's broader environmental and social objectives, strategies and/ or policies, in particular demonstrating that effects on biodiversity sensitive areas, emissions to water and hazardous waste have been taken into account.  In addition, the Certified Entity is not subject to a complaint to a National Contact Point of the OECD regarding Responsible Business Conduct of Multinational Enterprises.	certification sustainability reporting and any wider TCFD reporting of the Certified Entity.  Any amendments to the Strategic Narrative since the previous verification engagement meet the 'To obtain Certification' requirements as described above.  To obtain Certification  The position statement meets the requirements of Clause C.3.2.3.6. This includes addressing whether and if so, how the alignment with the Certified Entity's broader environmental and social objectives considers any contribution to the Just Transition in the region in which the activities are carried out.  Risks of negative environmental or social externalities related to the main actions supporting the Vision and Strategic Narrative have been identified and monitoring systems are in place to monitor those risks and escalate mitigation or compensation measures if the externalities materialise.  Confirmation that the Certified Entity is not subject to a complaint to a National Contact Point of the OECD regarding Responsible Business Conduct of Multinational Enterprises.  For subsequent annual verifications  Evidence that the risks of social and environmental externalities are being monitored and acted upon.	These broader environmental and social objectives, strategies and policies should relate to the Certified Entity's ESG objectives and policies, obligations under any sustainability reporting requirements and/ or regulations.  At this time, this guidance does not define a Just Transition or the requirements for how a Transition Plan incorporates a Just Transition. This can be done by the Applicant using whatever tools, frameworks or methodologies as it deems appropriate. As a broad definition, a Just Transition would be the delivery of a net zero and climate-resilient economy in a way that delivers fairness and tackles inequality and injustice.
C.3.2.3.1. Action Plan for Scope 1 & 2 Emissions	A time-bound plan of the trackable actions the Certified Entity will take or is taking to implement its decarbonisation strategy as described in the Vision and Strategic Narrative and thereby deliver its Climate Mitigation Performance Targets regarding scope 1 & 2 emissions.	To obtain Certification  For each decarbonisation lever identified in the Strategic Narrative relating to scope 1 or 2 emissions, the documented Action Plan identifies a schedule of actions (a roadmap) that will deliver that lever.  For each decarbonisation lever and associated schedule of actions, interim milestance and business and	The Action Plan will be specific to the Certified Entity. However, where the appropriate Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria include key actions and/ or business or operational metrics that would be required for Certification these must be incorporated into the Action Plan.  Key milestones are one-off actions to be undertaken. They must be sufficiently granular that progress can be assessed annually.

interim milestones and business and

operational metrics are identified for

the purpose of monitoring delivery

of the Action Plan, per the schedule

The documented actions are broadly

described in Clause C.3.2.3.1.

consistent with those of peers

For example, if the decarbonisation lever is the

should detail the steps taken to develop and

deploy that technology, from investments in

R&D, patent registering, pilot projects, scaling projects etc. Not simply state that the

technology will be deployed ten years hence.

deployment of a new technology, the Action Plan

This includes interim

milestones and metrics

annually for the five year

period of certification, three-

yearly for the subsequent nine

## Criteria as written in PART C of the Climate Bonds Standard v4.0

years and a five-yearly thereafter.

N.B. This is only required if the relevant Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria address scope 1 and/or scope 2 emissions.

#### Certification Checklist

targeting similar decarbonisation levers. Material deviations are explained and supported.

The plausibility of the actions is supported by external evidence regarding the feasibility and timing of the proposed action and its estimated impact on emissions.

Collectively, the actions identified in the Action Plan can plausibly be expected to deliver the decarbonisation levers described in the Strategic Narrative.

#### For subsequent annual verifications

The interim milestones and metrics falling due since the previous annual verification engagement have been delivered/ met.

Or, for any milestones or metrics that have not been met, the corrective actions being taken to address this within 12 months (in line with Clause C.3.3.)

Any amendments to the Action Plan since the previous verification engagement meet the 'To obtain Certification' requirements as described above.

#### Additional guidance

Likewise, if the decarbonisation lever is a switch to renewable energy, the Action Plan should detail the steps taken to source that energy, such as intentions for and source of renewable energy certificates (RECs) and / or purchasing power agreements (PPAs) and as well as any action to install and operate on-site generation.

The actions must be concrete. Vague descriptions such as 'accelerate our transition to cleaner energy solutions', 'modernize our operations' or 'leverage green solutions' without a description of the specific actions that will be taken, and the impact of those actions are not eligible.

Depending on the nature of the action, plausible evidence of the expected impact of the actions identified in the Action Plan may include academic studies, third party expert verification, evidence from comparable action taken in similar circumstances, contractual undertakings.

Business and operational metrics are cross cutting targets that can be used to assess overall progress in the implementation of the Action Plan. For example, % of product sold that is low carbon, % energy from renewable sources/ emissions intensity of energy used/ total emissions of energy used, % of recycled source materials etc.

These milestones and metrics together address and enable the tracking of all key actions identified in the Action Plan as necessary to deliver the decarbonisation levers.

Plausible evidence of the interim actions being taken and/ or interim metrics and milestones being met will vary but might include management reports, Board papers, audited sustainability reports, public announcements, evidence of capital expenditure or contracted services or equipment, sales contracts.

#### C.3.2.3.2. Action Plan for Scope 3 Emissions

A time-bound plan of the trackable actions the Certified Entity will take or is taking to implement its decarbonisation strategy as described in the Vision and Strategic Narrative and thereby deliver its Climate Mitigation Performance Targets regarding scope 3 emissions.

This includes interim milestones and metrics annually for the five year period of certification, three-yearly for the subsequent nine years and a five-yearly thereafter.

#### To obtain Certification

The documented Action Plan describes:

- i. Clear objectives for value chain engagement that align with value chain related decarbonisation lever(s) identified in the Strategic Narrative. These objectives address the desired behaviours or results or outputs from value chain partners, and the expected contribution to the delivery of the relevant decarbonisation lever(s).
- The granular actions to be taken to achieve these objectives, with identified trackable interim milestones and metrics to enable

The Action Plan for Scope 3 emissions is a roadmap for engagement with downstream and upstream entities in the Certified Entity's value chain e.g. suppliers, distributors, final customers and portfolio companies.

The Action Plan should identify avenues through which the Certified Entity has significant influence on their suppliers or customers.

Appropriate actions and associated milestones and metrics will vary by Certified Entity. Examples include:

- % of procurement from no- or low GHG suppliers.
- Implementing a sourcing governance policy or framework ensuring new supply chain partners are compatible with the objectives

Criteria as written in PART C of the Climate Bonds
Standard v4.0

Certification Checklist

#### Additional guidance

N.B. This is only required if the relevant Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria address scope 3 emissions. the monitoring and assessment of progress per the schedule described in Clause C3.2.3.2.

iii. An escalation process and mitigation action that will be taken should value chain stakeholders show little or insufficient response to engagement and/ or interim milestones and metrics are not met. In particular, if the plan is fully or partially reliant on partners setting their own compatible emissions reduction targets, it is accompanied by a mechanism for assessing the veracity of any partner emissions reduction claims and a description of and timeframe for the compensatory action that will be taken if partners do not set or achieve their own emissions targets.

The timing and anticipated emissions reductions of the engagement actions described in the Action Plan for Scope 3 emissions can plausibly be expected to deliver the associated decarbonisation levers identified in the Strategic Narrative.

#### For subsequent annual verifications

The interim milestones and metrics falling due since the previous annual verification engagement have been delivered/ met.

Value chain partners brought on since the development of any low carbon procurement policy or similar have been comply with the terms of that policy. This may be evidenced via contractual agreements with those partners.

Any amendments to the Action Plan for Scope 3 emissions since the previous verification engagement meet the 'To obtain Certification' requirements as described above.

described in the Action Plan for Scope 3 emissions.

#### C.3.2.3.3. Finance plan

A Finance Plan detailing the financial implications of the Strategic Narrative and Action Plans, and the trackable actions being taken/ to be taken to deliver this Finance Plan.

This includes interim milestones and metrics annually for the five year period of certification, threeyearly for the subsequent nine

#### To obtain Certification

The Finance Plan for the delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets addresses

 The costs (CapEx and potentially OpEx), revenue impacts and other balance sheet impacts of implementing the Strategic Narrative and associated Action The details of the Finance Plan will be specific to the Certified Entity. However, the following guidance applies to all.

Financial considerations typically have greater bearing for sectors that are capital-intensive or where transition plans are expected to involve very significant investments and costs. Certified Entities whose transition to lower emissions does not entail significant costs would likely provide fewer details on financial strategy.

Criteria as written	in PART	C of the	Climate Bond	s
Standard v4.0				

years and a five-yearly thereafter.

#### Certification Checklist

- The planned sources of funding to cover these costs.
- An assessment of how the financial impacts described above will impact the overall financial metrics of the Certified Entity and any implications for the ongoing financial viability of the Certified Entity.
- Identification of metrics that have significant estimation uncertainty, noting the sources and nature of the estimation uncertainties and the factors affecting the uncertainties.
- Financial milestones and target metrics that can be monitored to track progress in the delivery of the Finance Plan per the schedule described in Clause C3.2.3.3.

This Finance Plan incorporates detailed financial budgets for first two years. Higher level estimates and projections may be used over the subsequent three to five years. Beyond that, estimates and projections will be broader and into the longer term represent more a broad conceptualization of possible financial pathways.

The costs estimated in the Finance Plan are reasonably sized compared to sector peers and/ or the overall plausibility of the Finance Plan is supported by external evidence from credited third party sources. Material deviations are explained and supported. If expected costs or revenue impacts are substantially different when compared to equivalent estimations elsewhere, reasonable explanation is given to justify this.

Assumptions on growth, market demand for products, prices and costs related to future business mix are broadly aligned with industry trends, and the plan adequately justifies an expectation of commercial viability.

Quantitative future outcomes are calculated on the basis of given scenarios, assumptions, base data and other information and reflect the uncertainties and sensitivities identified in the Strategic Narrative and Sensitivity Analysis

#### Additional guidance

Costs relate to the investments (CapEx) and operational costs (OpEx) needed to deliver the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets.

Examples include R&D or investment costs in new technologies or infrastructure, site remediation, contract penalties, regulatory costs, restructuring costs, higher supplier prices arising from the transition, long-term utilities savings from investment in renewable energy assets. They must address all current, committed and anticipated impacts.

Revenue impacts must include the positive and/or negative impacts from changed product or service offerings and/ or the prices of those offerings.

Balance sheet impacts must address balance sheet and cash flow impacts. For example revised asset values/ write-downs.

Target metrics that can be tracked over time might include:

- % of the Certified Entity's capital expenditure aligned with the strategic narrative
- % of the Certified Entity's R&D aligned with the Strategic Narrative
- % of the Certified Entity's asset base aligned with the products/ services described in the vision
- % of the Certified Entity's revenue aligned with the products/ services described in the vision.

Criteria as writter Standard v4.0	n in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
		The selected milestones and target metrics are clearly linked to the delivery of the Finance Plan and representative of all aspects of it and can be tracked over time.	
		There is no material uncertainty related to the capacity of the Certified Entity to finance the transition represented by the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets, either due to likely underestimation of costs or weak financial profile compared to the expected expenditures involved, estimated sources of finance, and their timing.	
		The Certified Entity's projected asset base does not indicate a lock-in to high carbon impact technologies that is inconsistent with the attainment of the Vision and Strategic Narrative.	
		Financial data and assumptions are consistent with and integrated into the corresponding financial data and assumptions in the Certified Entity's financial statements in accordance with the relevant accounting standard.	
		For subsequent annual verifications	
		The interim milestones and target metrics falling due since the previous annual verification engagement have been delivered/ met.	
		Or, for any milestones or target metrics that have not been met, the corrective actions being taken to address this within 12 months (in line with Clause C.3.3.).	
		The Financial Plan is compatible with any financial statements issued post certification.	
		Any amendments to the Financial Plan since the previous verification engagement meet the 'To obtain Certification' requirements as described above.	
C.3.2.3.4. Internal policy	Information on the key internal policies developed or to be	To obtain Certification	Key policies and conditions will vary according to the Certified Entity and its activities. They may

#### C.3.2.3.4. Internal policy alignment

Information on the key internal policies developed or to be developed to enable the delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets, Strategic Narrative, Action Plans and Finance Plan, explaining how each of these policies supports specific elements and milestones in the Strategic Narrative and Action Plans and Finance Plan.

Documentation of the key internal policies that need to be developed to deliver the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets.

For each policy, the following is described:

- The objective of the policy.
- The activity to which it relates.

the Certified Entity and its activities. They may cover operational, financial or any other aspects of the transition.

Criteria as writte	n in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
Standard v4.0			
		<ul> <li>How it supports the delivery of the Climate Mitigation         Performance Targets, linking it to elements in the Strategic         Narrative / Action Plans and/or Finance Plan.</li> <li>A schedule for its development and implementation, with annual trackable milestones.</li> </ul>	
		For subsequent annual verifications	
		The trackable milestones have been met. Or, for any trackable milestones that have not been met, an explanation is given of how this will not affect the delivery of the Action Plan/ Finance Plan/ Climate Mitigation Performance Targets, or corrective actions have been identified and are being implemented to address this underperformance within 12 months (in line with Clause C.3.3.).	
C.3.2.3.5.	Sensitivity Analysis identifying	To obtain Certification	Key sensitivities and risks will be specific to the
Sensitivity analysis	the key sensitivities and risks to the Vision, Strategic Narrative, Action Plans and Finance Plan that have the potential to decisively impact the delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets.	The key sensitivities and risks around key assumptions underpinning the Vision, Strategic Narrative, Action Plans and Finance Plan and ultimately the delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets are identified.  Sensitivity analyses has been performed on plausible variations of these key assumptions and the impacts of these are quantified in respect of estimated impacts on the likelihood, timing and/ or costs of delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets.  For subsequent annual verifications	<ul> <li>Certified Entity, depending on their activity, size and location among other factors. At a minimum, consideration should be given to key sensitivities and risks relating to:         <ul> <li>Policy and regulatory change e.g. how policy and regulatory change will subsidise the cost of investments.</li> <li>Technological developments e.g. reliance on new technologies and the timing and cost of their roll out</li> <li>The physical impacts of the current and forecast changing climate e.g. how changing precipitation patterns and may impact access to water required for operations.</li> <li>Shifts in client and consumer demand e.g. the level of demand for products or services that the company is not currently providing.</li> <li>Operating environment change e.g. decarbonisation of the grid.</li> <li>Supply chain considerations, scarcity/prices of key inputs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
C.3.3. GOVERNA	NCE		
	The following accountability mechanisms are in place to govern the delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and any associated Delivery Strategy.		
C.3.3.1. Board and senior	C.3.3.1.1. Board mandate	<b>To obtain Certification</b> The Terms of Reference, mandate	The Board or equivalent governing body means:  • The Board
executive responsibility	The Board (or equivalent governance body) has a clear mandate to:  Oversee the selection of the Climate Mitigation	and related policies for the Board (or equivalent governance body) of the Certified Entity reflect the four elements noted in Clause C.3.3.1	<ul> <li>A named, specific, Board committee</li> <li>An executive or committee that reports on this directly to the Board or to a Board-level committee.</li> </ul>

the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and

Criteria as written in PART C of the Climate Bonds
Standard v4 0

**Certification Checklist** 

#### Additional guidance

- development of the Delivery Strategy; and
- Approve the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy; and
- Oversee the execution and monitor progress with the Delivery Strategy; and
- Approve and monitor any corrective actions required where interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy milestones are not being met.

## C.3.3.1.2. Senior management responsibility

Senior management has responsibility for execution of the Delivery Strategy, and the responsible parties have authority and access to resources to ensure execution.

There is a named board member or executive with explicit responsibility for the Certified Entity's response to climate change.

This person has climate change expertise and/ or the Board engages external experts to advise on climate and other related technical matters.

Board minutes document receipt and discussion of regular status reports on the design of the Delivery Strategy, execution of the Delivery Strategy, and corrective measures needed in respect of delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and implementation of the Delivery Strategy, as per the disclosed information on the frequency of Delivery Strategy review and monitoring.

#### For subsequent annual verifications

Board minutes since the previous verification engagement document receipt and discussion of regular status reports on the design of the Delivery Strategy, execution of the Delivery Strategy, and corrective measures needed in respect of delivery of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy, as per the disclosed information on the frequency of Delivery Strategy review and monitoring.

## C.3.3.2. (Re)setting and monitoring the Climate Mitigation Performance

**Delivery Strategy** 

Targets and

#### C.3.3.2.1.(Re) setting Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and the Delivery Strategy

Tools and mechanisms are in place for the (re) setting of robust Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and the Delivery Strategy, including a full review and, if necessary, update of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy at least every five years.

## C.3.3.2.2. Tracking and monitoring delivery

Tools and mechanisms are in place for tracking and monitoring of delivery of interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and the milestones and metrics identified in the Action Plans and Finance Plan.

#### To obtain Certification

An internal control system is in place in relevant internal assurance functions in which there is:

- 1. A documented process for (re) setting the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and the Delivery Strategy at least every five years, including identification of internal controls established to prevent, or detect and correct inappropriate or erroneous inputs, or computational errors in the compilation of the finance plan, and ensure the underlying base data is complete and accurate.
- 2. An information system for obtaining, processing and communicating relevant data and information of sufficient quality to enable monitoring of progress in the delivery of the interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and interim milestones and target

This internal control system may include an internal audit system.

Climate Mitigation Performance Targets should be reset and/ or Delivery Strategy adjusted, as needed, to reflect significant changes within or external to the business that could compromise relevance and consistency of the existing target or delivery strategy. This re-evaluation and recalibration must reflect changing operating conditions and market developments, such as new technologies coming online sooner than expected.

Any Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and/ or Delivery Strategy reset during the period of Certification must comply with the Standard (including Climate Bonds Standard Sector Criteria) in force at the date of initial Certification, not the Standard in force at the time of reset.

Tracking and monitoring processes should be adequate to identify in a timely manner:

- Actual underperformance against the interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets

Criteria as written in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
Standard v4.0	metrics identified in the Action Plans, and the ongoing appropriateness of the assumptions underpinning the Vision and Strategic Narrative, including those highlighted in the Sensitivity Analysis as posing significant risks to the execution of the Delivery Strategy.  3. A central record of and tracking processes for Interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and interim milestones and metrics for monitoring purposes.  4. Stakeholder communication and engagement and feedback mechanisms.  5. An escalation process to enable any challenges or concerns in relation to the Certified Entity's transition to be communicated to and considered by senior management and the Board as appropriate.	and the milestones and metrics identified in the Delivery Strategy  - Anticipated underperformance of future interim Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and milestones and metrics identified in the Delivery Strategy due to changes in internal or external factors that might impact on future delivery.  - Changes in anticipated operating conditions that might conversely enable a tightening or strengthening of Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and associated update of the Delivery Strategy.
	Evidence that the development of the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy on which Certification has been obtained have followed this internal control process.	
	A public statement by the managing body or executive management committing to review the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy at least every 5 years, and notification of the next scheduled review.	
	For subsequent annual verifications	
	Evidence of regular overall monitoring of the internal control system to determine that such controls are effective.	
	Any Climate Mitigation Performance Targets reset since the previous verification engagement are in compliance with the requirements of Clause C.3.1 and supported by a (revised) Delivery Strategy that	

C.3.3.3. Correction of underperforman ce In the event that interim
Climate Mitigation
Performance Targets and
milestones and metrics due
during the period of
Certification have not been
met, corrective action must be
taken to reverse that under-

#### To obtain Certification

complies with Clause C.3.2.

n/a

**For subsequent annual verifications** - in the event of underperformance only

Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and milestones may be reset as part of this corrective process (i.e. resetting Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and/ or the Delivery Strategy can be the corrective action), but any revised Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and/ or Delivery Strategy must be in compliance

Criteria as writte Standard v4.0	en in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
	performance, so no Performance Target or milestone is unmet for a period longer than 12 months.	A unified dashboard underpinned by a single system of record to track all instances of underperformance and their associated action or mitigation plans.  The corrective actions are deemed to be feasible and sufficient to correct the performance gap.  The corrective actions are approved and subsequently monitored by the Board.	with the requirements of Clauses C.3.1.1 and C.3.2.
C.4. COMMITME	ENTS OF THE PARENT GROUP		
C.4.1. Exclusions	;		
C.4.1.1. Expansion of fossil fuel activities	If any legal entity within the Parent Group is in the business of the exploration or extraction of fossil fuels, or is a utility company generating or supplying power or heat generated from fossil fuels, the Parent Company has, within the last year, publicly (re)committed to no expansion of any of the following activities across the Parent Group after 1 January 2023:  i. The exploration and extraction of conventional and unconventional fossil fuel reserves; or  ii. The exploration of new conventional and unconventional fossil fuel resources; or  iii. Natural gas production; or  iv. Refining crude oil to produce derivative products; or  v. The supply and/or use of fossil fuels for power generation and heat.	To obtain Certification  Commitment documented in a published policy or statement.  For subsequent annual verifications  Commitment documented in a published policy or statement.	These activities include unconventional sources such as hydraulic fracking, arctic drilling, oil sands and shale deposits.  No expansion means no additional commitment of CapEx for the acquisition or leasing of new fossil fuel assets. These assets might be fixed (e.g., property, plant, equipment) or intangible (e.g., goodwill, capitalized licences).  Already committed CapEx with pre-dated Board sign off is not included in the exclusion.  Capex for maintenance of existing fossil fuel assets is permitted, so long as it does not extend the life of those assets.
C.4.1.2. Conversion of high carbon stock land	The Parent Company has, within the last year, publicly (re)committed that as of 1 January 2020 no entity within the Parent Group has or will convert, fragment or unsustainably intensify operations on high-carbon stock land leading to the loss of its status as high-carbon stock land.	To obtain Certification  Commitment documented in a published policy or statement.  For subsequent annual verifications  Commitment documented in a published policy or statement.	High-carbon stock land is defined as:  i. Wetlands: land that is covered with or saturated by water permanently or for a significant part of the year; and  ii. Continuously forested areas: land spanning more than one hectare with trees higher than five metres and a canopy cover of more than 30 %, or trees able to reach those thresholds in situ; and  iii. Land spanning more than one hectare with trees higher than five metres and a canopy cover of between 10 % and 30 % or trees

cover of between 10 % and 30 %, or trees able to reach those thresholds in situ.

Critorio es unistan	in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Contification Charlet	Additional guidance
Standard v4.0	TIN PART COLLING CIIMALE BONGS	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
C.4.2. Net zero commitment	The Parent Company has approved and made public net zero emissions commitments covering all material scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions of the entire Parent Group, or already has net zero emissions as determined in line with the GHG Protocol and based on emission data that is independently verified/audited and not more than 2 years old.	To obtain Certification  Commitment documented in a published policy or statement.  For subsequent annual verifications  Commitment documented in a published policy or statement.	The commitment must cover reducing (without the use of offsets) material scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions to zero or to a residual level that is consistent with reaching net-zero emissions at the global or sector level in eligible 1.5°C scenarios or sector pathways and neutralizing any residual emissions at the net-zero target date and any GHG emissions released into the atmosphere thereafter (offsets may be utilised to address these residual emissions only).  All relevant GHGs required as per the Kyoto Protocol (CO2, CH4, N2O, HFC, PFC, SF6, NF3) must be included.  Materiality is defined as at least 95% of groupwide scope 1 and 2 emissions. Plus, for
			companies with scope 3 emissions that are at least 40% of total emissions (scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions), at least 90% of scope 3 emissions.
C.4.3. Transition Plan	The Parent Company has approved and made public corresponding Transition Plans to support the public net zero commitment for the Parent Group (per Clause C.4.2).	To obtain Certification  A documented, public Transition Plan for the Parent Group, aligned with the net zero commitment, that includes:  i. The nature of the economic activities undertaken by each legal entity within the Parent Group and their respective contribution to the Parent Group's total emissions and revenue. This includes the activities of the Certified Entity.  ii. The GHG reduction targets of all parts of the Parent Group not forming part of the Certified Entity. This must include the target date(s) by which each activity is set to achieve net zero emissions, and interim targets for each activity over the short, medium and long term aligned with that target. These targets must address all material scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions.  iii. If any part of the Parent Group practices any of the activities described under Clause C.4.1.1., the plans to fully cease those activities by the earlier of:  a. a ten-year timeframe,	Materiality is defined as at least 95% of group-wide scope 1 and 2 emissions. Plus, for companies with scope 3 emissions that are at least 40% of total emissions (scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions), at least 90% of scope 3 emissions.

and

b. 2030 if located in an OECD country or 2040

elsewhere.

For subsequent annual verifications
Any amendments or updates to the
Transition Plan since the previous

Criteria as written Standard v4.0	in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
		verification engagement meet the 'To obtain Certification' requirements.	
C.5. DISCLOSURE			
C.5.1. Information to disclose	The Applicant publishes and keeps accessible on their website the information presented in Table C1.	To obtain Certification  All items under the 'To obtain Certification' column of Table C1 have been publicly disclosed in line with the format of disclosure requirements in Clause 5.2.  For subsequent annual verification  All items under the 'For subsequent annual disclosure' column of Table C1 have been publicly disclosed in line with the format of disclosure requirements in Clause 5.2.	There are no specific requirements regarding where the disclosure items per Table C1 should be disclosed, so long as they are publicly available and remain publicly available via the Applicants' website at a minimum.  Examples: Annual reports, sustainability reports, websites.  In respect of Transition Plan disclosures per Table C1, the same corporate reporting norms should be applied as are applied to general purpose financial reporting. Specifically, any information should be disclosed that, if omitted, misstated, or obscured, could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions that the primary users of general purpose financial reporting make on the basis of that reporting.
C.5.2. Format of disclosure	Disclosures have been and will be made according to the customary practices regarding corporate disclosures, such as via annual reporting, dedicated sustainability reporting, statutory filings and investor presentations, at the discretion of the Applicant.	To obtain Certification  -  For subsequent annual verification -	Disclosure integrates with, and build from, broader climate related disclosures in the reporting entity's general purpose financial reports.
C.6. EXTENDING F	NTITY CERTIFICATION		
C.6.1. Extending entity certification to instruments linked to the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy	The Applicant may use Entity Certification as a stepping- stone to certification of financial instruments linked to the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and Delivery Strategy of the Certified Entity as assessed per Clause C.3, such as a Sustainability Linked Bond or Loan, or a compatible Use-of- Proceeds Bond.  Certification is not automatically extended to these financial instruments. It requires an Approved Verifier to verify the link between the Entity certification and Sustainability Linked Debt (SLD)/ Use-of-Proceeds Certification, under Part D/ Part A of the Standard and meet any other SLD/ Use-of-	n/a	Example 1: Certification of a Sustainability Linked Bond (SLB) whose Sustainability Climate Mitigation Performance Targets are tied to the same Climate Mitigation Performance Targets, Delivery Strategy and other metrics and evidence used by the issuing entity to determine the Certified Entity's compliance with PART C of the Climate Bonds Standard v4.0.  Example 2: Certification of a Use-of-Proceeds debt instrument where the eligible nominated assets and projects the proceeds are allocated to are compliant with Clause A.4 and no way conflict with or undermine the Climate Mitigation Performance Targets and/ or Delivery Strategy used by the issuing entity to determine the Certified Entity's compliance with PART C the Climate Bonds Standard.

Proceeds criteria as described

in this document.

Criteria as written Standard v4.0	in PART C of the Climate Bonds	Certification Checklist	Additional guidance
C.6.2. Extending entity certification to general purpose debt	A Certified Entity may also apply for certification of any general-purpose debt issued by the same Certified Entity, such as commercial paper, revolving credit facilities or a general purpose bond.	n/a	The certification of the general purpose debt will apply as long as the issuing entity remains a Certified Entity.
	A company wishing to certify its general-purpose debt must complete the Climate Bonds Information Form and submit it to the Climate Bonds Standard Secretariat. The Climate Bonds Secretariat will award Certification without the need for a Verification Report by an Approved Verifier.		
C.6.3. Revocation of linked certification	Should certification of the Certified Entity lapse or be revoked for any reason in accordance with Box C2, certification of any linked instrument still outstanding will also be publicly revoked.	n/a	-