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An $895bn climate-aligned universe

The 6th annual State of the Market Report, commissioned by HSBC, identifies an $895bn universe of ‘climate-aligned bonds’ financing low carbon and climate resilient assets or projects. This is an increase of $201bn on the 2016 climate-aligned bond universe.

This report reviews how bonds are being used to finance a transition to a low carbon global economy. It includes an analysis of the growing global ‘green bond’ market but also goes beyond the green label.

It sizes and analyses the ‘climate-aligned bond’ universe comprised both of labelled green bonds (use of proceeds defined and labelled as green) as well as a much larger set of bonds issued by entities enabling a low carbon economy but are not labelled green.

Summary figures

This year we found a universe of $895bn climate-aligned bonds outstanding which is made up of 3,493 bonds from 1,128 issuers across seven climate themes. It includes $221bn of labelled green bonds.

The $201bn increase on last year is from:
- $138bn new bonds from existing issuers
- Plus: $84bn from new climate-aligned issuers
- Plus: $60bn green bonds from new issuers
- Minus: $81bn matured bonds or issuers that have been removed

While $895bn provides a good picture of current climate-aligned investment in the bond market, it does not show the full potential for labelled green bond market growth. Labelled green bonds are primarily issued by diversified companies whereas the unlabelled portion of the climate-aligned universe is mostly pure-play issuers. The labelling of green bonds is therefore essential to shift fixed income investment towards climate change solutions.

Building resilient cities

This year’s report focuses on the role of cities, sovereigns and sub-sovereigns in meeting the targets outlined in the Paris Climate Agreement.

Historically, bonds have been used by sovereign and sub-sovereign entities to finance national infrastructure, energy, transport, urban water and sewer systems. In the next decade, bonds will need to be used as a tool to finance low carbon, climate resilient infrastructure.

Green bonds will be a vital tool in helping cities and sovereign authorities to raise the finance required to meet climate targets. More on pages 10-15.

Institutional investors play a crucial role

According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), cumulative investment of $53tn is required by 2035 in the energy sector alone to avoid dangerous climate change. New Climate Economy estimates that up to $92tn of investment is required across the whole economy by 2030. The global bond market currently stands at approximately $90tn.

At the 2015 COP21 in Paris, 188 Parties presented their national plans to keep global temperature rise this century below 2 degrees Celsius. These plans will require a mixture of public and private sector capital – including the $100tn institutional investor sector. At the same COP, institutional investors representing $11.2tn committed to grow a green bond market; and the insurance industry reiterated its commitment to increase climate smart investments tenfold by 2020. The Bank of England’s Prudential Regulation Authority also recommended green bonds as a climate-related investment opportunity for UK insurance firms. Finally, there is growing interest in climate-aligned investment from PRI signatories (>1,600 to date) and other investor groups. Green bonds have received increasing recognition at G20 level in the Green Finance Study Group (GFSG) and the EU High-Level Expert Group (HLEG).

Methodology

To find unlabelled climate-aligned bonds, we screened Bloomberg and Thomson Reuters issuer data and reviewed over 1,700 issuers to identify those with over 95% of revenue derived from climate-aligned assets. We also added renewable energy project bonds and domestic Chinese bonds from the ChinaBond China Climate Aligned Bond Index (an index that Climate Bonds Initiative provides data for). Thus, most of the unlabelled issuers are pure-play green companies (pure-play companies derive all of their revenue from a particular source, in this case all revenue is derived from climate solutions). We included all bonds from these issuers issued after 1 Jan 2005 (the year the Kyoto Protocol was ratified) and before 30 June 2017.

Issuer screening is based on work undertaken through the Climate Bonds Standard but the process does not always apply the full Climate Bonds Criteria due to insufficient granularity of information available. The Climate Bonds Standard is continually expanding to include new sectors and based on emerging research. This evolution means that some issuers drop out and others enter the database.
The $895bn climate-aligned bond universe

Labelled green bonds:
Bonds labelled as ‘green’ by the issuer and are financing green assets and projects. See pages 16-19.

Unlabelled ‘climate-aligned’ bonds
Bonds issued by entities enabling a low carbon economy but are not labelled green.

Note: $ refers to USD unless otherwise stated
Overview of the $895bn climate-aligned bond universe

The growth in the climate-aligned universe is encouraging but there is headroom for a much larger market.

Transport is the largest theme in the universe but has decreased as a percentage of the total universe and now accounts for 61% (66% in 2016). The change is primarily due to the large increase in labelled green bond issuance - $100bn since the 2016 report.

The Energy theme is the second-largest theme in the universe and is primarily renewable energy manufacturers and operators. There are few utilities in this theme due to the diverse nature of most utilities.

The Multi-sector theme now accounts for 13% of the universe - all of which is labelled green bonds. The sector captures green bond issuers such as development banks where proceeds go to a range of projects in different themes.

Buildings and Industry, Agriculture and Forestry, Water and Waste make up a small proportion of the overall universe, accounting for less than 7% between them. This is partly because bonds are not frequently issued by sovereigns and sub-sovereign agencies are an important part of the universe accounting for 68% of the total amount outstanding. This includes entities owned by or guaranteed by the sovereign or sub-sovereign government such as China Railway Corp and Network Rail among others.

Transport and Energy account for 80% of the universe

Sovereigns and sovereign entities make up the majority of the universe

$389bn meet basic investment parameters

To track what proportion of the universe is available to most investors around the world, we screened the universe using the following indicators:

- **Size**: > $200m (85% meet filter)
- **Liquidity**: bond has a recent ask price (69% meet filter)
- **Currency**: is included in the Barclays Global Aggregate Index (63% meet filter)

43% of the universe meet all 3 parameters - a universe of $389bn outstanding. The rating distribution of that sub-set is shown right.

This provides an idea of the types of investment that many international investors are looking for although we note that the many fund managers will have their own methodology and criteria in place. For example, in the primary market, there has been large demand for labelled green bonds which are less than $200m in size.

Investable universe $389bn

Does not meet broad investment parameters

AAA 13.5%
AA 38%
A 20%
BBB 18%
Less than BBB 6.5%
No rating 4%
The average bond size is approximately $262m with a similar distribution of issue sizes for both green bonds and climate-aligned bonds. The largest number of bonds fall into the $10-$100m bracket - a large number of municipal bonds fall into this bracket as do corporate bonds, particularly in emerging market currencies where bond sizes of less than $100m are common.

The main currencies are broadly similar to the major bond market currencies, with USD and EUR well represented. One difference is the dominance of RMB3 and the very limited presence of JPY. There are a few reasons for this: a) RMB figures are very large because the largest issuer in the dataset - China Railway Corp makes up 25% of the universe alone. China Railway Corp raises the finance for China’s extensive and rapidly-growing rail network; b) RMB bonds have become a large proportion of the green bond market (which in turn makes up a growing percentage of the total market) while there are almost no JPY denominated green bonds.

The majority of issuance has tenors in excess of 10 years, with the average tenor of climate-aligned bonds at 11.7 years. This is similar to the average global corporate bond tenor of just over 11 years. Long tenors are common in state-backed rail entities or utilities whose assets have long lifetimes and which have the credit rating to issue longer-dated debt. These bonds make up the majority of the climate-aligned bond universe.

A large proportion of bonds do not have a credit rating. Issuers such as China Rail Corp have a local credit rating but no international credit rating. For this report, only the international rating agencies were used.

Climate-aligned bond universe in the global bond market context

An $895bn universe is big but how big is this in the context of the global bond market? The value of climate-aligned and green transactions in Q2 2017 amounted to just over $43bn while the value of international debt capital market transactions in the same period amounted to $1.1tn4 putting climate-aligned transactions at just under 4% of the total volume. Growth is encouraging but also indicates that there is headroom for an even bigger climate-aligned bond universe.

61% of the universe has a tenor greater than 10 years
Across the climate themes

The following section breaks down the data into climate ‘themes’ which relate to what is being financed rather than the industrial sector classification of the issuer – for example, Tesla is categorised as ‘Transport’ rather than ‘Industry.’

### Transport

- **Size:** $544bn
- **Number of issuers:** 197
- **Number of bonds:** 1242
- **Largest issuer:** China Railway Corp

Transport remains the dominant theme in the climate-aligned universe, with $544bn outstanding made up primarily of rail infrastructure.

The **transport sector is the second largest contributor to global GHG emissions** – responsible for 23% of energy-related CO₂ emissions. Clean transport infrastructure will be a vital part of the transition to a low carbon economy. This will require increased mass public transit systems such as rail and bus rapid transport and a move away from fossil fuel vehicles.

**Global megacities are driving growth in urban transit infrastructure** which makes up 12% ($64bn) of the theme. Paris’ RATP Group, Transport for London, and New York MTA are the top 3 issuers. Lima Metro is one of the few Latin American issuers.

**The majority of climate-aligned bonds are financing rail infrastructure.** This is expected as bonds have been used to finance rail infrastructure for decades – the technology is proven, offers a steady income and is low risk - ideal for bond financing.

**China Railway is the largest issuer** with $222bn outstanding. China Railway is the national railway operator of China and has financed the development of the 22,000km high-speed railway network. Other large issuers include France’s SNCF ($52.9bn), UK’s Network Rail ($37.2bn), Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway ($19.1bn) and Indian Railways ($14.7bn).

**Electric vehicles are niche but growing.** The dataset includes Tesla which has issued $980m in bonds financing the manufacture and development of electric vehicles and components. While some large auto manufacturers have made strong commitments to electric and alternative fuel vehicles (e.g. Volvo), their bonds cannot be included as ‘climate-aligned’ unless they are labelled green because the majority of revenue comes from fossil fuel vehicles.

### Water

- **Size:** $32bn
- **Number of issuers:** 204
- **Number of bonds:** 336
- **Largest issuer:** Anglian Water

The water theme is the 4th largest theme with $32bn outstanding. Over a third of this amount ($13.2bn) was issued as labelled green bonds.

**Water infrastructure is essential from both an environmental and social perspective** and yet, it is very difficult to differentiate between water infrastructure that is contributing to a transition towards a low carbon economy and that which is not.

As such, water-related bonds are not green by default. Released in 2016, the Climate Bonds Water Criteria provide specific guidance for water bonds to be certified as ‘green’ if they deliver GHG mitigation, promote adaptation to climate change or facilitate increased climate resilience. Adaptation and resilience infrastructure will become increasingly important, particularly for cities around the world as they adapt to temperature changes and rising sea levels. Resilience and adaptation bonds are difficult to identify unless they are labelled – over a third of this theme is labelled green bonds. Water bonds fit broadly into four categories: water treatment, flood protection and defences, conservation and restoration and general climate resilience.

**U.S. municipalities are leading the way for cities globally** as they look to green bonds to finance clean water and adaptation infrastructure. U.S. municipalities make up the majority of issuers in the theme and have issued green bonds for water infrastructure and flood protection. 2017 U.S. munis have included New York State Environmental Facilities and the city of Asheville.

### Buildings & Industry

- **Size:** $19.5bn
- **Number of issuers:** 73
- **Number of bonds:** 142
- **Largest issuer:** Renovate America

The Buildings and Industry (B&I) theme is small, accounting for 2% of the total universe. It is composed primarily of labelled green bonds financing green buildings.

**The B&I theme identifies bonds financing energy efficiency investments** such as low carbon buildings, energy efficient products (e.g. LED lighting) and industrial energy efficiency processes and technology.

In practice, the majority of issuance is linked to green buildings and mostly consists of labelled green bonds. This is because in the energy efficiency space, the majority of products being developed or buildings built are from large diversified issuers with a multitude of products – this means that their bonds cannot be picked up for this report unless they are labelled as green.

Many green commercial real estate companies fall into this category such as Vasakronan, Unibail-Rodamco and others – they are frequent issuers of labelled green bonds but their whole portfolios are not yet green enough for all of their bonds to be included as climate-aligned – it is therefore only their labelled green bonds that are part of this dataset.

Similarly, large producers of energy efficiency products such as Siemens or GE are not included because of the diverse nature of their revenue sources.
Energy

- Size: $173.4bn
- Number of issuers: 501
- Number of bonds: 1197
- Largest issuer: Hydro-Québec

Energy is the second largest theme in the climate-aligned universe at $173.4bn outstanding. The energy supply sector is the largest contributor to global GHG emissions and, despite energy efficiency improvements, energy demand continues to rise as global populations and income levels increase. To decarbonise, the sector needs to move away from carbon-based energy towards renewable energy generation.

The energy theme stands at $173.4bn with wind, solar and mixed renewable energy accounting for 63% of the theme and hydro accounting for 27%.

The majority of solar issuance has come out of the U.S. which includes some large project finance deals such as Topaz Solar ($1.2bn) and large pure-plays such as Tesla-owned SolarCity. European issuers lead the way in bonds financing wind energy with $23bn outstanding. 

The energy theme picks up a wider range of energy generation types than is currently eligible under the Climate Bond Standard: in particular it includes nuclear power and large-scale hydro generation in non-tropical areas. Both of these electricity generation types have the potential to provide large-scale and low carbon power that could meet baseload power demands.

However, both of these power types can be controversial and require stringent criteria and standards in place in order for them to be included. In the absence of such criteria, they have been included in this report but some may be excluded in the future as criteria are developed - between them, hydro and nuclear account for 36% of issuance from the theme.

Multi-sector

- Size: $112.3bn
- Number of issuers: 131
- Number of bonds: 459
- Largest issuer: European Investment Bank

This sector is comprised entirely of labelled green bonds with proceeds financing a range of projects in different sectors.

The majority of issuers are financial sector issuers or development banks such as the EIB, World Bank and others. The development banks were early pioneers of the concept of the labelled green bond. They continue to push the development of the market through best practice in reporting and standards (see more on pages 16-19).

While the exact allocation of proceeds to each project type is not always disclosed, we estimate, based on information available, that over half of proceeds are allocated to energy and energy efficiency projects.

Note: no bonds in this section have been included in other sections to ensure that there is no double counting.

Forestry & Agriculture

- Size: $8.5bn
- Number of issuers: 26
- Number of bonds: 63
- Largest issuer: WestRock

Forestry and Agriculture account for just 1% of the climate-aligned universe with the majority coming from certified paper and packaging.

Agriculture, deforestation and other land use account for about 25% of anthropogenic GHG emissions and yet, its small presence within the climate-aligned bond universe indicates that the role that bonds will play in financing a transition in this sector is unclear.

Companies within the agriculture and forestry sector are not regular bond issuers. Those which we identified are large sustainable paper and timber companies, such as WestRock, whose paper products are fully certified by the Forest Stewardship Council.

What about forest bonds? There have been various initiatives to utilise forest bonds to reduce deforestation but these are yet to materialise at any scale. In 2016, the IFC issued a type of forest bond for $152m, that gave investors the choice to receive payments in either cash or in carbon credits from a forest project in Kenya.

Criteria update: In 2017, the Climate Bonds Initiative convened a technical working group to tackle criteria relating to land use. The first phase of criteria will be ready for use in late 2017.

Waste & Pollution Control

- Size: $5.6bn
- Number of issuers: 33
- Number of bonds: 54
- Largest issuer: Covanta

Waste & Pollution Control is the smallest theme in the dataset - it captures technologies and services linked to recycling, resource recovery and energy efficient waste disposal.

Its small size is largely due to the fact that the screening process filters out large waste management companies because of the diverse nature of their business which includes some waste disposal techniques which are not climate compatible such as landfilling.

From a climate-perspective, the top priority for post-consumer waste should be reduction and recycling. However, for waste that cannot be reduced or recycled, modern waste-to-energy is considered to be a cleaner alternative to some other waste disposal techniques.

The majority of bonds in this theme are issued by companies in the waste-to-energy (WTE) sector. While previously considered to be dirty, modern WTE plants have become one of the cleanest high temperature industrial processes.
Cities are central to building the low carbon and resilient infrastructure needed to ensure future economic growth that addresses and adapts to climate change. Cities have formed networks like C40 to exchange best practices for managing climate risks. These platforms may play a role in achieving NDCs and driving climate action.

Bonds are a key tool to finance climate infrastructure in cities. Bonds, some of which are labelled green, have been used by cities and related agencies to finance climate and other infrastructure for decades. Since the first labelled green city bond was issued in 2013, over 180 labelled green city bonds from 13 countries have come to market. This includes bonds from cities, municipalities, transport authorities, water utilities and municipal banks.

The 10 case studies below show both the precedent and opportunities for green city bonds. Opportunities for future green city bonds involve expanding on the precedent of early adopters, and bringing in new players. In this section, we examine climate-aligned bond issuance and highlight case studies of the many cities that have already issued green bonds as well as cities that may issue in the future. Cities were chosen to illustrate a wide range of geographies but are only a sample of the broader market.

The potential for scale-up varies across cities depending on the size of the local bond market and infrastructure plans. In each case study, we estimate this potential but note that this is not an empirical measure. For example, green bond potential for New York exceeds that of Lagos but both are important within their respective regions.

Green city bonds: spotlight on North America

The North American climate-aligned universe stands at $184bn making it the third largest region after Asia-Pacific and Europe. It comprises only the U.S. and Canada with the U.S. accounting for 81% of the total.

Transport and Energy themes dominate issuance in the region, accounting for 48% and 30% of total climate-aligned issuance respectively. In the transport theme, U.S. rail entities BNSF and Union Pacific are the largest while almost a third of issuance in the energy theme comes from Canada’s Hydro Québec.

Current climate policy in the U.S. and Canada could not be more different. The U.S. has stated its intention to withdraw from the Paris Agreement, one of only 3 countries to do so. Canada, on the other hand, has made strong statements on climate action launching the Pan-Canadian Framework on Clean Growth and Climate Change in December 2016. National climate policy, however, is not the whole picture and, despite differing levels of government commitment, both countries are making progress on climate finance.

U.S. issuers are pioneering green securitization, with nearly $7bn ABS bonds outstanding in the climate-aligned universe (all labelled green). Much of this comes from the Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) legislation and financing model driven by entities such as Renovate America and Ygrene Works. PACE legislation allows local governments to fund the up-front cost of energy improvements which are paid back over time by the property owners.

In Canada, government agencies and sub-sovereign issuers have played a big role in the development of the green bond market with the Province of Ontario and Export Development Canada (ECD) being early issuers. In the first half of 2017, green bond issuance ramped up with the Quebec Ministry of Finance issuing its first green bond as well as regular issuers EDC and Ontario, bringing labelled green bond issuance to over $1.5bn in 6 months. Alongside national policy commitments, green bonds may play a role in the newly formed Canadian Infrastructure Bank, aiming to raise over C$21bn to support green infrastructure over the next 11 years.

Green bond issuer: New York

About New York

As one of the largest sub-sovereign bond issuers in the U.S., New York has acted as a demonstration green bond issuer for municipalities, large and small, around the country. Other large cities such as San Francisco and Los Angeles have followed. The state has shown leadership in supporting best practice with both the Metropolitan Transportation Authority and New York State Housing Finance Agency issuing certified Climate Bonds amounting to over $3.7bn and, given infrastructure plans, potential for future issuance is substantial.

While NY has demonstrated scale, other municipalities have also boosted the market and proved investor demand for smaller bonds.

C40 member: Yes
Compact of Mayors Commitment: 80% reduction in CO₂ emissions by 2050
Bond issuing powers: Yes, through the city and through state agencies like MTA
Total bond issuance last 5 years: New York is a frequent issuer with total issuance since 2012 exceeding $10bn
Planned/current climate infrastructure projects:
- $1.7bn subway expansion
- $315m wastewater adaptation
Country’s NDC commitment: None
Estimated potential for green bond issuance: Significant (>$1bn p.a.)
Green city bonds: spotlight on Europe

Over the next 20 years, EUR180bn in additional annual investment will be required to meet the EU’s 2030 climate and energy targets. The biggest investment gaps relate to energy efficiency in buildings and transport, and are more substantial in Central and Eastern Europe.

26 countries are represented in the European climate-aligned bond dataset with France at $92bn, the UK at $60bn and Russia at $24bn. Of these 26 countries, 18 have also issued labelled green bonds. Within the European segment of climate-aligned data, 59% of bonds are financing low carbon transport solutions and 21% energy.

European cities were the first to issue green labelled bonds with Nordic cities (Gothenburg) and French regions (Ile de France) leading the way. 19 separate cities and city-related entities have issued labelled green bonds in Europe. Pioneers have included Nordic municipality debt aggregators such as Kommunalkbonen. They enable small municipalities to access low cost capital by issuing senior unsecured bonds backed by a highly-rated aggregator (owned by the sovereign government). The result is highly-rated bonds and low cost of capital for small cities and municipalities.

European issuers are showing the potential for a range of bond types to be utilised in financing green infrastructure – the past 18 months saw the issue of the first green Schuldenschein (debt instrument), green Pfandbrief (covered bond) and green loans.

European investors are leading demand for green investment. There are over 15 dedicated green fixed income funds for the European market. Some are dedicated to labelled green bonds while others include unlabelled climate-aligned bonds.

The first European Residential Mortgage-Backed Security (RMBS) could point to growth of a European green securitization market. Dutch Obvion issued the first green RMBS, under the Climate Bonds Standard in June 2016. The European Mortgage Federation and European Covered Bond Council have since launched an Energy Efficient Mortgages Initiative to develop standardised energy efficient mortgages.

In 2017, Climate Bonds teamed up with the European Covered Bonds Council to form the ‘EU Green Securities Committee’. The main goal is to promote the development of a green securities market in Europe including covered, asset-backed and senior unsecured bonds. The members will be working closely to develop the green debt market in Europe.

---

**Green bond issuer: Gothenburg**

*About Gothenburg*

The Swedish City of Gothenburg was one of the first cities to issue a labelled green bond. Since their initial SEK500m ($79m) green bond in 2013, four additional bonds have been issued with a combined value of over SEK5.5bn ($800m). The proceeds have financed projects in renewable energy, energy efficiency, public transportation, waste management, water treatment, and sustainable housing.

Future bonds could finance a range of planned infrastructure projects including regional high-speed train projects and electric buses planned for 2018-2020. While the city is a frequent bond issuer and has ambitious project plans in the pipeline, the scale of green issuance is likely to be moderate given its small population size (approx. 500,000 people).

**Estimated potential for green bond issuance:** Moderate ($100-500m p.a.)

---

**Potential issuer: Amsterdam**

*About Amsterdam*

Amsterdam has been active in innovative green finance programmes like the Amsterdam Investment Fund and Green Finance Lab. While the city itself cannot issue a bond directly, municipal banks and funding agencies in the Netherlands issue bonds collectively for certain infrastructure projects.

BNG Bank, for example, raises low cost finance for public-sector institutions. It could follow the precedent set by the Nordic municipal debt aggregators. Green bonds have been issued in this manner by Nederlandse Waterschapsbank for regional water authorities, this process could be replicated by other entities for city or municipal projects. This could help Amsterdam finance green infrastructure such as the planned North-South Metro project and meet the climate commitments of the forward-looking city.

**Estimated potential for green bond issuance:** Moderate ($100-500m p.a.)

---

[1] 59% of bonds are financing low carbon transport solutions and 21% energy.
[2] European Green Securities Committee

---
Green city bonds: spotlight on Asia-Pacific

Asia-Pacific is the largest region in the climate-aligned universe, primarily due to issuance from China which accounts for 82% of the total in the region.

Rail accounts for 70% of regional issuance, which includes the financing or refinancing of rail projects and related infrastructure. Much of this is from China Railway Corp which, alone, makes up over 58% of issuance from the Asia-Pacific region and a quarter of the entire global universe. Taking China Railway Corp out of the dataset puts the energy and transport themes at similar amounts outstanding – both approximately $50bn.

China dominates, accounting for 82% of issuance in the region, with India and the Republic of Korea small but growing. While China is large, the figures for the climate-aligned universe are broadly in line with that of the global debt securities market – for example, the Chinese debt securities market is approximately 12 times that of India. In the climate-aligned data, China is also 12 times that of India.

Largest issuers include China Railway Corp ($222bn), Indian Railways ($14.7bn), China Three Gorges ($13.6bn) and Korea Railroad ($10.5bn). Of note, few Japanese rail issuers have been included in the dataset – this is because all of the main Japanese rail operators derive a large segment of their revenue from retail, restaurants, hotels and advertising, some of which is linked to station infrastructure.

China - 90% of climate-aligned bonds were issued by entities backed by the sovereign or sub-sovereign government. Issuance has been bolstered by the Government’s commitment to a green economy in China’s 13th Five Year Plan. A number of regulatory developments have also taken place that are supportive of a green financial system including the roll-out of national Guidelines for Establishing the Green Financial System, and the release of green bond guidelines from various regulators such as People’s Bank of China (PBoC), National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC) and China Securities Regulatory Commission (CSRC). We expect to see the sovereign or sub-sovereign issuance to continue fuelling China’s market momentum, as more green infrastructure projects are planned in line with policy imperatives.

---

Green bond issuer: Wuhan

About Wuhan

Wuhan is one of the largest cities in central China with a population of over 10 million. The municipality has put great emphasis on building a low carbon city. To achieve its carbon reduction goals, significant efforts have been made to expand and ‘green’ the mass transit system.

Wuhan Metro constructs, manages and operates the metro transit in the city, and is owned by the municipality government. It issued China’s 1st metro green bond in July 2016, and has become a frequent green bond issuer with total issuance of RMB5.5bn ($8.5bn).

As of August 2017, metro lines in Wuhan reached 180 kilometres. It is scheduled to have 32 lines of 1,200 kilometres by 2049, accounting for over 55% of the city’s public transportation. The city also has ambitious plans to build large scale BRT networks, introduce electric buses and cycle hire services.

C40 member: Yes
Climate plan:
• 56% GHG per unit GDP reduction by 2020
Bond issuing powers: Yes, through local government financing vehicle
Total bond issuance last 5 years: More than $5bn issued through the government financing vehicles, and more than $4bn issued through transportation groups
Planned/current climate infrastructure projects:
• Wuhan Metro expansion
• Flood protection projects
• Wuhan - Huantou Public Bicycle Service System
• Bus Rapid Transit system
Country’s NDC commitment: 60-65% reduction in carbon
Estimated potential for green bond issuance: Significant (>$500m p.a.)

---

Green bond issuer: Hong Kong

About Hong Kong

Hong Kong is home to Hong Kong MTR, a pioneer of financing public transit through real estate and the value capture model since its inception in developing Hong Kong’s urban metro system in 1975. MTR, while majority owned by the government, operates as a publicly-traded company with operations around the world. In 2016, MTR issued a $600m green bond for service enhancements and environmental performance improvements.

With a strong climate action plan, green bonds could play a stronger role across other municipal functions, including in the financing of the planned 3-4% increase in renewable energy sources, the expected $500m energy upgrades for municipal buildings, or the many waste to energy projects planned in the near-term.

C40 member: Yes
Climate plan:
• 36% absolute reduction of carbon emissions
• 65-70% reduction of carbon intensity over 2005 levels by 2030
• Reduce community-wide CO2 emissions intensity by 55% per HK dollar GDP by 2020 from 2005
Bond issuing powers: Yes
Total bond issuance last 5 years: Frequent bond issued - more than $39bn issued through Hong Kong SAR Government Bond Programme
Planned/current climate infrastructure projects:
• Municipal building upgrades
• Renewable energy
• Centralised greywater system estimated to be completed by 2024
Country’s NDC commitment: 60-65% reduction in carbon
Estimated potential for green bond issuance: Significant (>1bn p.a.)
India – domestic climate-aligned issuance amounts to $21bn outstanding from 21 issuers with Indian Railways accounting for 68%. Labelled green bond issuance has also grown, with $3.6bn currently outstanding - predominantly financing projects related to renewable energy. Issuers include NTPC which leveraged fossil fuel balanced sheets in order to raise capital for solar and wind energy projects. Movements are also being made to catalyse the national green bond market - the Indian Green Bonds Council was formed in late 2016 and in June 2017, the corporate regulator, Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI), released guidelines for listing green bonds. The guidelines will bring greater transparency and certainty to the Indian green bond market.

Republic of Korea - Total climate-aligned bonds outstanding from Republic of Korea amounts to $19.4bn from 14 issuers with the largest two issuers Korea Railroad Corp ($10.5bn) and Korea Hydro and Nuclear ($6.8bn) accounting for almost 90% of the total. Two Korean issuers have issued labelled green bonds to date - Export-Import Bank of Korea and Hyundai - but no regulatory or other major developments have taken place yet. Given the strength of Korea’s auto industry and electronics industry, there could be green issuance in the future from car manufacturers financing zero emissions vehicles or for manufacturers of energy efficiency technologies, such as LED lighting.

Australia – To date, there have been 15 labelled green bonds amounting to $5.5bn from 12 issuers. Though a relatively small sized market, Australia has a diverse range of green bonds, including 13 Certified Climate Bonds from the nation’s four big banks: ANZ, CBA, NAB and Westpac, two state governments, a listed property company, a leading tertiary institution and several green ABS.

Australia is a best practice example of market development, driven by leadership from the banks and their support for robust standards and disclosure, the involvement of sub-sovereigns and the government-backed Clean Energy Finance Corp as well as demand from local pension funds.

Only one climate-aligned issuer (Reliance Rail) was discovered during the research process. This does not mean that bonds are not financing green assets but that issuers are too diversified to be picked up by the research process.

Australia has advanced regulations for measuring and monitoring emissions from buildings and strong growth in rooftop solar. Future labelled issuance may emerge from finance, sub-sovereigns, and the property sector given their strong presence in the green bond market to date.

Potential issuer: Mumbai

About Mumbai

With nearly $1tn needed to meet mitigation goals under India’s NDC, much of the progress will be made in the dynamic, growing urban centres. Mumbai has a range of projects from waste management to public transit that could be financed through green bonds.

India’s Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD) has also prioritised action on municipal bonds under its Smart City Mission launched in 2015, granting a 2% interest subsidy to incentivise municipal issuers.

The potential for green bonds from Mumbai may be significant in the long-term but in the short-term, issuance will likely be small. Mumbai’s Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation has not issued a bond in over 10 years. India plans to revive its municipal bond market and 2017 saw the first municipal bond issued since 2007 (by Pune Municipal Corp).

Potential issuer: Tokyo

About Tokyo

Japan is home to the world’s second largest bond market. In February 2017, the Tokyo Metropolitan Government (TMG) published a ‘Green Bonds Issuance Policy’ as part of a larger constellation of environmental goal setting, establishing a path to a greener city as Tokyo revamps its infrastructure for the 2020 Olympics.

Building on the trial run of Tokyo’s Environmental Supporter Bond (AUD125m/$99m), TMG is planning on doubling last year’s issuance between October and December of 2017, using the established project pipeline from 2016.

Pre-allocated projects involve environmental measures for Olympic facilities, carbon neutral conversions for municipal buildings, LED street lights, and public enterprise projects, all in the service of achieving Tokyo’s goal of reducing GHG by 30% below 2000 levels by 2030.

Potential issuer: Israel

About Israel

Israel is a C40 city and has set its goal of reducing GhG by 30% below 2000 levels by 2030. The potential for green bonds from Israel is likely to be small. The Ministry of Environmental Protection is evaluating the potential for green bonds.

Potential issuer: Indonesia

About Indonesia

Indonesia is a C40 member and has set its goal of reducing GhG by 26% by 2020 compared to 2000 levels. The potential for green bonds from Indonesia is likely to be small. The Country’s NDC commitment: Estimated at $834bn to 2030

Potential for green bond issuance: Small in short-term (<$100m p.a.)

Potential for green bond issuance: Large
Green city bonds: spotlight on Africa

Total climate-aligned bond issuance remains small in Africa with just under $3bn outstanding, over half of which is labelled green bond issuance.

This is not out of line with Africa’s overall bond market development which is still small – only South Africa has a bond market of any substantial size (approximately $235bn outstanding) while all other markets are smaller than $20bn outstanding.

There are four unlabelled climate-aligned issuers in the African dataset: two project bonds in South Africa (Touwsriver Solar Power and Elevate Wind solar), the rail operator of Morocco, and the Kenya Electricity Generating Company, which is majority hydro assets.

South Africa and Morocco - the green bond market remains largely undeveloped but small changes may be on the horizon. The City of Cape Town, City of Johannesburg, Banque Centrale Populaire, MASEN, and BMCE Bank have each issued labelled green bonds within the past 3 years, increasing awareness and acting as examples for other potential issuers.

Kenya & Nigeria Programmes: It is likely that the market for green bonds in Africa will largely be developed by sovereigns. Both Nigeria and Kenya have stated that they plan to issue sovereign or sub-sovereign green bonds. Rwanda has also shown interest in issuing a green bond in the longer term. The first African sovereign green bond is expected from Nigeria in 2017.

Market development is high on the agenda for Africa and there are numerous events that will facilitate further growth. In Nigeria, the Climate Bonds Initiative is working on a joint initiative with the Ministries of the Environment and Finance to advise on the sovereign green bond. In the longer term, the goal is to develop a domestic green bond market in Nigeria.

In Kenya, Climate Bonds Initiative, in collaboration with the Kenyan Bankers Association, and the Nairobi Securities Exchange have developed green bond guidelines in line with international best practice. These guidelines will be finalised following stakeholder consultation. There is also work ongoing to develop a pooled vehicle, allowing the aggregation of bank loans to issue green bonds.

---

About Cape Town

Cape Town issued its first green bond in July 2017, a ZAR1bn ($76m) Certified Climate Bond; the first certified green bond for South Africa, and the second South African city green bond after Johannesburg in 2014. Proceeds are supporting transportation, energy efficiency, wastewater, and coastal resilience projects.

Cape Town residents are currently in the middle of a climate crisis as 3 years of low rainfall has caused the worst drought in a century.

Cape Town is not a frequent bond issuer (previous bond in 2010) so although this green bond is playing a part in achieving the country’s NDC objective, the use of the bond market to raise capital will likely be small. With a defined green bond framework already in place, Cape Town can act as an example for other cities across the region.

---

About Lagos

Lagos is one of the world’s fastest growing megacities, and an economic powerhouse in West Africa. As a waterfront city with a unique set of planning and adaptation challenges, Lagos could benefit significantly from city green bond issuance across a number of sectors, which have been identified as priority areas in the Lagos State Development Plan.

As an example, wastewater management and drainage infrastructure projects in the long-term planning horizon are key elements for increasing flood resilience, and would be well-suited to green bonds. The Development Plan also outlines goals for renewable energy and public transport.

The Lagos State government issued a N85.14bn ($233m) bond in August 2017 for social and built infrastructure.

---

Potential issuer: Lagos

C40 member: Yes

Climate commitment: Lagos State Development Plan 2012-2025 - includes sections on waste management projects, wastewater, climate adaptation, but no specific goals set.

Bond issuing powers: Yes, Lagos State issues sub-sovereign bonds

Total bond issuance last 5 years:
2 bonds N165bn ($548m)

Planned/current climate infrastructure projects:
- Wastewater management and drainage infrastructure
- Renewable energy supply to 15GW
- Public transport capacity increase

Country’s NDC commitment: 10% city-wide energy consumption from renewable sources by 2020
13% reduction in emissions by 2020

Estimated potential for green bond issuance: Small - <$100m p.a.

---

Green bond issuer: Cape Town

C40 member: Yes, and C40 Cities Clean Bus Declaration

Compact of Mayors commitment:
- 10% city-wide energy consumption from renewable sources by 2020
- 13% reduction in emissions by 2020

Bond Issuing Powers: Yes, through the City of Cape Town Metropolitan Municipality

Total bond issuance last 5 years:
1 bond ZAR1bn ($76m) labelled green

Planned/current climate infrastructure projects:
- BRT bus system extension
- Coastal protection and adaptation

Country’s NDC commitment: $1.68tn in mitigation and adaptation investment economy-wide

Estimated potential for green bond issuance: Small - <$100m p.a.
Green city bonds: spotlight on Latin America

Total climate-aligned issuance amounts to $14.5bn with Brazil accounting for the majority ($6bn) followed by Mexico ($3.1bn). While total issuance is small, it is diverse with over 40 different climate-aligned issuers discovered.

Transport, energy and multi-sector are the largest themes accounting for 28%, 32% and 26% respectively. In the transport theme, all issuance financed rail or public transport projects with the Lima Metro ($1.2bn) being the largest issuer. In the energy theme, the majority of bonds are financing wind energy with issuers including Mexico’s Nacional Financiera (NaFin) as well as La Rioja Province in Argentina. Multi-sector bonds are all labelled green bonds financing multiple projects types including the largest issuer in the region, Mexico City Airport, and Costa Rica’s only issuer to date Banco Nacional de Costa Rica.

Mexico City Airport is the largest issuer ($2bn) but there are no dominant issuers in the region unlike other regions. The largest ten issuers account for only 61% of issuance. Other large issuers include the Lima Metro (Peru), BNDES (Brazil), All America Latina Logistica (Brazil) and Suzano Papel e Celulose (Brazil).

Two labelled green bonds have been issued by sub-sovereign entities to date in Latin America – the City of Mexico (MXN$2bn / $49m) and La Rioja Province in Argentina ($200m).

Labelled green bond issuance has increased to $7.5bn with $5.8bn of that issued in the past year alone. $4.9bn was issued by corporate entities and $2.1bn by development banks. Mexico City Airport is the largest green bond issuer in the region to date following their $2bn green deal financing green airport infrastructure. Green bonds have predominantly been issued for renewable energy projects while climate-aligned bonds have predominantly been issued for transport and renewable energy projects.

Who will lead the region - Mexico or Brazil?

Brazil and Mexico lead Latin America in terms of population and GDP, and their local green bond markets are at similar development stages, offering a contemporary comparison of green finance in the region.

Brazil ranks 5th in terms of global population (205 million), and São Paulo its largest city, was in the top 5 of the world’s megacities in 2016, and is set to remain in the top 15 by 2030, with an estimated population of almost 24 million. Mexico has a population of over 120 million, and Mexico City is projected to be in the top ten of global megacities by 2030 with a population of over 23 million.

Both countries have created local green bond market development councils - the Brazil Green Finance Council and Mexico’s Advisory Council on Climate Finance. In addition, local institutional investors have committed to support the growth of long term, sustainable global markets in green bonds. Investors with over BRL1.8bt ($575bn) of assets under management (AUM) signed the 'Brazil Green Bonds Statement' in 2016. In 2017, 57 Mexican investors with MXN4tn ($225bn) AUM signed a similar statement at the Mexican Stock Exchange.

There has been a sharp increase in labelled green bonds from Brazil in 2017 partly due to the 2016 release of green bond guidelines by the Brazilian Business Council on Sustainable Development (CEBDS) and the Brazilian Bank Federation (FEBRABAN). Brazil’s giant development bank BNDES announced in late 2016 the creation of a BRL500m ($144m) green bond fund, to buy renewable energy bonds issued domestically. A $1bn green bond followed in May 2017.

In contrast, issuance in Mexico has emerged from supranationals and sub-sovereigns, including NaFin, Mexico City and the City’s Airport Group. Due to the lack of participation of the private sector, there have been calls for the development of guidelines and national standards to support corporate issuers and give confidence to investors, that are often wary of the credibility of ‘green’ projects.

International development banks are also encouraging issuance in the region. In Colombia, the IDB and IFC have supported local banks coming to market. In Mexico, the IDB along with the Clean Technology Fund have been working on unlocking finance for energy efficiency projects through securitisation for green bond issuance.

According to the IFC’s Climate Investment Opportunities in Emerging Markets report, Brazil’s climate smart investment potential for 2016-2030 stands at $1.3bn and Mexico’s at $791bn. Opportunities exist for green finance development in Latin America, however the right conditions must be nurtured. Institutional investors have called for ‘strong action on climate change’ and stand ready to invest in green bonds from the region.
Labelled green bonds

2016 was another record breaking year in the labelled green bond market with labelled green bonds amounting to $81.4bn*, an increase of almost 100% from 2015. 2017 looks set to continue this trend.

The green label is a tool to meet climate targets by closing the gap between funding needs and investor demand. It is a signalling mechanism to investors – an easy way to identify that investments are green. In this way, the label reduces friction in the market and enables the flow of finance from investors to green investments.

Green bond issuance in 2017 is likely to break new records with $57bn issued by the end of Q2. 2017 may be the year that the market exceeds the $100bn mark.

25% of the climate-aligned universe is now made up of labelled green bonds. This is a marked difference to the first report in 2012 where there were just $7.2bn of labelled green bonds - less than 5% of the universe.

This is encouraging – our view has always been that this report should showcase opportunities for labelling and demonstrate just how big the market could become. But for investors, there is a value in labelling.

Since the original State of the Market report in 2012, one primary aim has been to demonstrate to the market that the green label is a concept with enormous market potential. Looking back, it’s remarkable how the labelled universe has grown in a relatively short period. Just four years ago, in our second report, there were only $7.4bn of labelled green bonds outstanding. The labelled universe is much larger now, and growth remains strong.

*Only bonds labelled as green and that meet Climate Bonds definitions are included in green bond data.

Green bond market developments

The first sovereign green bond was issued in late 2016 making sovereign green bonds the talk of 2017. Europe has been at the forefront of this development with Poland being the first sovereign to issue a green bond last December. This benchmark-sized bond raised EUR750m and was quickly followed in January of 2017 by France who issued the largest green bond to date at EUR7bn. We expect more sovereigns to issue in the coming months and year with Nigeria, Sweden, and Kenya all likely contenders.

City bond issuance is taking off with cities and sub-sovereigns increasingly turning to the green bond market to raise finance for climate-related projects. This has sometimes been due to national climate policies and targets but often in the absence of national climate policies.

The dominance of U.S. municipalities in this space is particularly noteworthy given a lack of policy at the federal level. By the end of June 2017, 101 municipalities, cities and states had issued green bonds, the majority of these from U.S. issuers. See a more detailed analysis of city bonds on pages 10-15.

Labelled green bonds account for a growing proportion of the climate-aligned universe

Top 11 countries for labelled green bond issuance

---
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There has been an increasing diversity of structures within the last 2 years, with the first covered bonds (Pfandbrief), the first green Schuldsechein, and the first green residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) coming to market.

Country issuance continues to be driven by China and the major North American and European markets, but there has been issuance from emerging and new markets including India, Brazil and South Africa. In comparison with the global bond markets, the main missing market is Japan with less than $3bn outstanding. With new green bond guidelines having now been issued by the Ministry of the Environment of Japan in March 2017, we expect this to change.

China was the largest source of issuance in 2016, and 2017 is expected to exceed that of 2016. In H1 of 2017, France was the leader of the country table on the back of the €7bn ($7.5bn) sovereign bond. Green bonds have been issued in 40 different countries and from all habitable continents.

Strong demand continues to drive the market with oversubscription being the norm. The French sovereign bond was a particular highlight with the sovereign initially going to market for €3bn and upsizing to €7bn, after receiving orders in excess of €20bn. While it is difficult to know whether there is an upper limit on the demand for green product, two features are notable: 1) numerous green bond-specific funds and indices have been launched in the past year which is both indicative of strong demand and may lead to further demand; 2) while demand from investors with a green mandate has been a driving force behind market growth, a key success has been that green bonds are identical in structure to vanilla bonds making them equally attractive to investors without a green mandate.

Development banks have maintained a strong presence and leadership role in the market despite the fact that they have been outgrown by corporate and sub-sovereign issuers. The EIB, KfW and World Bank take the top three spots in terms of total issuance to date. All three have been involved in pushing best practice in the market through the Working Towards a Harmonized Framework for Impact reporting launched in 2015. Several new players have entered this market in the past year, including the China Development Bank and Brazil’s BNDES.

Issuance from corporates and commercial banks has grown but there’s plenty of headroom for more. In some countries, corporates and commercial banks make up a large proportion of issuance – e.g. the Netherlands (69% by value) and France (65% by value). In other countries, it is lower – in the U.S., corporates and commercial banks account for 56% and Germany just 20%.

External reviews in the form of second opinions and third-party certification are vital for maintaining transparency and credibility in a market and are recommended by the Green Bond Principles. Over the past few years there has been an increase in the percentage of bonds that have had external reviews. By value, the percentage of green bond issuance which has received an external review has been steadily increasing from 65% in 2015, to 77% in 2016 and to 82% so far in 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Largest issuers to date</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Issuer type</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EIB</td>
<td>$22.6bn</td>
<td>Development Bank</td>
<td>Supranational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KfW</td>
<td>$12.8bn</td>
<td>Development Bank</td>
<td>Supranational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>$10.6bn</td>
<td>Development Bank</td>
<td>Supranational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPD Bank</td>
<td>$7.6bn</td>
<td>Commercial Bank</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of France</td>
<td>$7.6bn</td>
<td>Sovereign</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iberdrola</td>
<td>$5.6bn</td>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TenneT Holdings</td>
<td>$5.5bn</td>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF</td>
<td>$5.3bn</td>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>$5.3bn</td>
<td>Development Bank</td>
<td>Supranational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engie</td>
<td>$5.1bn</td>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of the bonds that have received an external review, **Climate Bonds certification is gaining increasing traction** with certified issuance increasing from just 4% of total issuance in 2015 to 9% in 2016 and 11% in 2017 year to date.

**U.S. Dollar and Euro currencies** continue to dominate the green bond market with the dollar accounting for 36% and the Euro at 38% of the outstanding amount. In 2017, the Euro has been the largest currency, accounting for 47% of issuance in Q1 and Q2 due in large part to the EUR7bn French sovereign bond issued in January 2017.

2016 saw Chinese green bonds take off with issuance in RMB rising from $994m in 2015 to over $23bn*. Overall, green bonds have been issued in 27 different currencies.

**Proceeds have been funding an increasing range of projects.** A shift from the focus on renewable energy seen in the early stages of the market. As the market has expanded, there has been a growth in issuance financing transport, buildings and water projects.

The majority of the green bond proceeds have been allocated to renewable energy and green buildings projects that, combined, amount to 64% of the total. They are then followed by transport and water which account for 14% and 10% respectively. Waste management, adaptation, and land use each account for 3-5% of use of proceeds. Transport and Water have seen the largest percentage increase from last year’s report. One reason for this is the rising number of rail bonds this year in Europe as well as from numerous U.S. transit authorities.

VolvoFinans Bank issued a green bond and simultaneously declared a direction of travel away from combustion engine vehicles, possibly indicating the start of a larger overall shift in the automotive sector. The emergence of hybrid, fuel cell, and electric vehicles may lead to more green issuance in this sector.

**Tenors are shorter than the vanilla bond market but there are differences across currencies.** The average tenor for corporate green bonds is 8 years compared to the vanilla bond market of approximately 11 years. There are differences across currencies - e.g. in USD, the average green bond tenor is 1 year shorter than the average vanilla bond but in EUR green bonds have longer tenors than vanilla bonds.

**Post-issuance reporting is improving but comparison remains challenging.** For bonds issued before April 2016, 74% have post-issuance reporting publicly available. The quality of reporting is varied with more prolific issuers likely to have better reporting standards in place.15 Climate Bonds research has shown that there is a lack of uniformity in post-issuance reporting which makes comparison difficult. It has also shown that impact reporting is becoming more commonplace. While impact reporting may be useful to investors, complex reporting expectations may also shy issuers away from the market. A balance, therefore needs to be struck between pertinent information and over burdensome reporting expectations.

* If Chinese labelled green bonds that do not meet Climate Bonds definitions are included, the 2016 total is $36bn.

**Green bonds use of proceeds - project types are diversifying**

---

---

---
How big could the green bond market become?

The climate-aligned bond universe detailed in this report gives one indication that the green bond market could become much larger. But, the climate-aligned bond universe only shows some of the potential for growth of the green bond market.

90% of the climate-aligned bond universe was issued by pure-play issuers, whereas in the labelled green bond universe only 5% of issuance is made up of pure-play issuers. The vast majority of issuance comes from diversified corporates, commercial banks, development banks or from cities and sub-sovereigns.

This means that the actual potential for growth is much larger than the $895bn already identified. For example, certain sectors will play an important role in the transition to a low carbon economy but are under-represented in the climate-aligned universe because of their diversified nature. This includes but is not limited to:

- **Electricity utilities**: will build and operate renewable energy
- **Banks**: will provide finance for low carbon infrastructure
- **Industrial sector**: will manufacture the technology required such as wind turbines, LED lighting etc.
- **Auto manufacturers**: will develop electric vehicles for the mass market as well as charging infrastructure
- **Cities/sub-sovereigns**: will plan and develop the infrastructure required for a transition to a low carbon economy.

First Green Sukuk issued in Malaysia

In late July 2017, the first green sukuk came to market issued by Malaysian Tadaw Energy

Sukuk are “tradable Islamic finance instruments”, consistent with the principles of Shari’ah. Sukuk represent an ownership in underlying assets or earnings from those assets.

This first green sukuk follows on from a number of incentives put in place by the Securities Commission Malaysia (SC) through the SRI Sukuk framework to promote greater utilisation of green sukuk.

These include:

- **Tax deduction** on the issuance costs of green sukuk that have been approved by SC;
- **Tax incentives** for green technology activities in energy, transportation, building, waste management and supporting services activities; and
- **Financing incentives** under the Green Technology Financing Scheme with total allocation of MYR5bn ($1.2bn) until 2022.

To be eligible for these tax deductions, issuers must ensure that proceeds raised are used to fund eligible projects in: natural resources, renewable energy and/ or energy efficiency sectors. Issuers are also encouraged to follow international best practice by appointing independent experts to undertake an external review prior to issuance.

This first green sukuk is the result of collaboration between SC, Bank Negara Malaysia and the World Bank, in an effort to facilitate the growth of green sukuk and to introduce innovative financial instruments to tackle global infrastructure needs and green financing.

This form of Islamic finance has tremendous potential as a climate finance tool, because it simultaneously taps into two fast-growing segments of global financial markets: sukuk and green bonds.
The green bond market has grown at record levels in 2016 and 2017 but to meet global objectives for emissions reduction and climate-aligned infrastructure, acceleration is required. In mid-2017 global climate leaders, including Christiana Figueres, have advocated that the green bond market needs to reach $1tn of issuance by 2020 (a more than 10x increase on the $81bn issuance of 2016, but still a small proportion of the global bond market) as part of six milestones for urgent action by 2020.

A global green finance market size of around $1trillion, would significantly boost progress on NDCs and implementation of country climate plans.

The latest climate science concerns around permafrost, the albedo effect and temperature trajectories reflect this urgency. Rapid growth in green finance is needed now.

The Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) set in the Paris Agreement are a first step for countries to benchmark their progress towards sustainable economic growth that is aligned with global climate targets. The public sector is central to enabling and scaling up green bond issuance and investment through capital raising plans for NDC financing that use instruments such as green bonds. Governments all over the world are looking for opportunities to stimulate economic growth and employment after a decade of stagnant growth.

There is also increasing pressure on global financial markets and institutional investors to act on investor statements and COP assurances by connecting capital flows to real economy outcomes: sustainable development, low carbon infrastructure, green technology, jobs and prosperity.

In developing countries, governments see the chance to build more resilient and diversified economies that support poverty alleviation, public health improvements and sustainable urbanisation.

Low interest rates in the U.S., Europe and Japan mean that investors are, where permitted, looking to increase their portfolio allocation to higher yielding assets, some of which will be in emerging market economies, to ensure liabilities can be met. In developing countries, green bond markets, with their added transparency, can attract foreign investors to support the development of a domestic green economy. Green finance is also increasingly associated with financial stability and climate-related risk strategies. It is encouraging that in countries that have embraced this agenda, green bond policy is now being led by financial policymakers with the support of environmental policymakers - this is real progress.

The green bond market has been highlighted as the green finance tool to steer financial sector capital towards the investments needed to drive steep emissions cuts, curb pollution levels and support sustainable development goals. The good news is that this is not new - governments have, throughout history, used bonds to shift investment into areas of urgent policy priority and fund highways, railways, water, sewers and wars.

---

**We need $1 Trillion a year of green issuance by 2020**

![Chart showing green bond issuance from 2013 to 2020](image-url)

---

2017 has seen encouraging developments in the green bond market, now more needs to be done. We need $1 trillion a year of green issuance by 2020.
Issuers as well as new investors. They also help to provide liquidity and scale a market and attract other corporate issuers. Besides raising capital, a sovereign green bond can attract new investors, improve collaboration between finance ministries and, most importantly, provide policy certainty within the country. Poland and France issued the first sovereign bonds in late 2016 and early 2017. Other countries have stated their intention to issue sovereign green bonds including Nigeria, Sweden and Kenya.

Markets are usually kickstarted with higher grade issuance and then diversification. The sovereign is often the highest-rated institution in the country, especially in developing countries with limited high-quality issuers. In this way, sovereign green bonds can kickstart a market by acting as demonstration issuance. They also help to provide liquidity and scale to a market and attract other corporate issuers as well as new investors.

1. Sovereign green bonds

Green sovereign bonds are increasingly seen as a key tool for governments to raise capital to implement infrastructure plans in line with NDCs. Determining how to finance NDC implementation strategies and laying out capital raising plans are the next steps towards meeting the targets.

2. Country-level Green Bond Guidelines

In 2016, China was a clear example of how national green bond guidelines can propel a market forward. Here are the latest developments:

- Japan’s Ministry of Environment released green bond guidelines in March 2017 which form a foundation for future guidelines from financial regulators.
- Taiwan, the Taipei Exchange, with oversight from the Financial Supervisory Commission issued guidelines endorsing the Climate Bonds Standard and Green Bond Principles (GBP) to identify green bonds.
- Luxembourg’s Ministry of Finance has endorsed a new green bond label scheme launched by the independent non-profit Luxembourg Finance Labelling Agency (LuxFLAG).
- India’s Security and Exchange Board (SEBI) released new green bond guidelines in May 2017. Brazil, Colombia, Mexico, Nigeria and Kenya all continue to build market capacity through green bond market councils and programmes.

3. International and regional collaboration

The EU has put finance and sustainability high on the EU policy agenda. Sustainability is now part of the financial stability and climate agenda. The EU High-Level Expert Group on Sustainable Finance has made preliminary recommendations that include establishing a standard and label for green bonds, and developing capital raising plans for sustainable infrastructure. Through the Expert Group, the European Investment Bank (EIB) is also leading efforts together with Climate Bonds to develop a common EU taxonomy. The EIB and People’s Bank of China (PBoC) – the regulator of 97% of the Chinese bond market – have launched a partnership committed to looking at harmonising the banks green bond guidelines.

The ASEAN Capital Markets Forum is developing green bond guidelines that will be applicable to all member countries.

In July 2017, the UK Chancellor of the Exchequer and the Finance Minister of Brazil launched the UK-Brazil Green Finance Partnership at the 2nd UK-Brazil Economic and Financial Dialogue. London’s Green Finance Initiative will work with the Brazilian Green Finance Council, co-convened by Climate Bonds, to deliver the Partnership’s aim of environmentally sustainable economic growth in Brazil and the UK through green finance.

This is a great example of how the finance ministries of two countries can collaborate to use green finance to simultaneously meet economic growth and NDC targets.

Additional support tools

Apart from green bond guidelines and increased investment and issuance of green bonds from public sector actors (development banks, states, provinces and municipalities, public utilities and sovereigns), more policy tools are starting to be implemented.

Central Banks are becoming increasingly concerned with the evaluation of climate-related risks and their impact on financial stability. For example, the Bank of England has announced a major review of banks’ exposure to climate risks. In China, the PBoC is exploring including exposure to green lending in banks’ macro-prudential assessment frameworks and allowing green bonds as collateral to access cheap financing from the central bank.

The Singapore Monetary Authority is providing financial support to new issuers through its Green Bond Grant Scheme, absorbing the full cost of external reviews in an effort to scale up a consistent and attractive market in Singapore.

Financial support in the form of tax incentives, widely used in other policy areas such as energy, are finally appearing on the scene for green specific infrastructure. For more details, see the page 19 box on the first green sukuk issued in Malaysia.

Look out for our briefing due out later this year for more on how Central Banks can support the development of green bond markets.
Greenium Update

In last year’s report, we published some taster results from a wider study we were working on green bond pricing. The wider study was published in July 2017 and analysed 62 investment grade green bonds issued in EUR or USD from January 2016 - March 2017.

As the market is still immature with a relatively small pool of bonds being compared, the results are mixed: some indicators show that there are differences between green and vanilla bonds while others point out no discrepancy.

Overall, there is evidence to suggest that many green bonds are under-priced at issuance. We are not able to say whether this market behaviour will persist, but this could point towards tighter pricing in the future. For the time being, this data should allay concerns among investors about longer term underperformance by green bonds.

Green bonds behaved differently:

- **Spread performance** compared to a corresponding broad market bond index: seven days after announcement date, 70% of green bonds had tightened more than their corresponding index, 71% after 28 days. This suggests that green bonds within our sample perform better than the market within the first 28 calendar days.
- **USD corporate green bonds** priced on average 22.2bps tighter than Initial Price Talk (IPT) when compared to corporate vanilla bonds (16 to 17bps) issued during the same period.
- **Green bonds tend to attract a broader range of investors** including those with green or ESG-focused mandates.

Green bonds behaved in line:

- **Average oversubscription** of green bonds is 3 times. Oversubscription of 3-4 times is not unusual in the corporate bond market.
- **EUR corporate green bonds** in our sample price on average 13.4bps tighter than IPT. This is within the normal range of 13-14bps for vanilla bonds over the same period.
- **Spread performance**: 70% of green bonds had tighter spreads 7 days after announcement date, 63% 28 days after. Bonds often tighten in the immediate secondary market.
- Some green bonds in the sample priced inside their own credit curves, some priced on their own credit curves, and some priced outside their own credit curves. This is broadly comparable to vanilla bonds.

Want to know more?

Check out our latest reports:

**Green Bond Pricing in the Primary Market - Is There a Greenium?**

Anecdotal evidence has suggested that green bonds are heavily oversubscribed and may price tighter than expected. This report explores the data to discover whether or not this is happening.

**Post-issuance Reporting in the Green Bond Market**

This is Climate Bonds Initiative’s first study on post-issuance reporting of green bonds.

To date, there has been very little collated information on whether or not reporting is happening and the quality of information that is being provided. This report attempts to fill this gap.

Want to know more about green city bonds?

Check out our guides:

**How to Issue a Green Muni Bond** provides guidance specific to U.S. cities and other public entities that issue municipal bonds.

It describes the state of the market, the benefits of issuing green bonds, how the market defines what is green and the steps cities need to take to access this growing market.

**How to Issue a Green City Bond** is relevant to issuers in all part of the world.

Published on behalf of the International Green City Bonds Coalition, this 4-page document provides an overview of how green bonds can be issued to finance climate-aligned urban infrastructure. It includes a summary of Green City Bonds issued, what types of projects can be financed and a step-by-step guide for issuers.
“Governments, private banks and lenders such as the World Bank need to issue many more ‘green bonds’ to finance climate-mitigation efforts. This would create an annual market that, by 2020, processes more than 10 times the $81 billion of bonds issued in 2016.”

Christiana Figueres
28 June 2017, Nature Magazine